INDEX For 1973 Issues

Guide to articles, charts, analyses and events of the solid wastes management industry last year

During the past 12 months, the field of solid wastes management has experienced even more strident technological and managerial developments than the year prior. These advancements, together with a continually growing public awareness of the industry, its problems and their impact upon the daily lives of everyone, make it doubly incumbent upon all sectors of the refuse-handling community; federal, state and local governments; the business world; the public in general and various action groups to have at their immediate command as much reliable information as possible regarding the many intricate facets of solid wastes management, as such data is essential to formulating intelligent decisions.

Clearly, at a time when more than half the population of the United States has openly expressed greater confidence in its refuse hauler than in every other national institution, but one, it becomes an even more awesome responsibility for members of the industry to remain always abreast of even the most insignificant ramifications of changes that have occurred within the industry, whether they involve personnel; wage scales; collection procedures; sanitary landfill site selection and operation; incineration; handling of hazardous, liquid and other unconventional wastes; stationary compactor installations; equipment purchasing and maintenance; costs and financing; resource recovery or federal and local legislation.

The same principle holds true, of course, regardless of whether such changes occurred in Ajo, Arizona, or Zurich, Switzerland, for sometimes a private contractor or a municipal sanitation department may, by only a slight modification of operational procedure, significantly influence refuse handling in other parts of the world. Indeed, to help solid wastes management meet its informational obligation is the purpose of this index.

With such an objective in view, *Solid Wastes Management Magazine* publishes each January an index which outlines the material that appeared in its monthly issues during the previous year, together with that presented in its sister periodical SANITATION INDUSTRY YEARBOOK. Included in this year's listings for the first time are the contents of another related publication, the COMPACTOR HANDBOOK, which reflects a wealth of information on the latest technologies in the field of refuse compacting equipment and processes.

The first index, published January, 1968, contained listings for issues dated January, 1958, through December, 1967. That compendium was followed each year thereafter by an annual index.

Entries of the easy-to-use index which follows apply to issues published in 1973. It is arranged by subject matter in alphabetical order, with the edition date and page number immediately following each entry.

As the purpose of the index is to guide readers to information that can be put to practical use, it does not contain references to items of incidental or parenthetical value such as:

- Captions of photographs and illustrations, tables of contents and advertisements;
- Notices less than a third of a column long unless they contain significant data;
- New products, announcements of meetings and other short items, the newsworthiness of which largely passed at the time of publication; and
- Articles describing the characteristics or use of specific makes or models of equipment, where the information overlaps or duplicates materials presented elsewhere in the issue or in lists of mechanical specifications.

Editorials, letters to the editor, book and film reviews and National Solid Wastes Management Association reports are identified as such.

Month and page references under each heading and subheading are arranged chronologically except where alphabetical or geographical listing will be more instrumental in locating the desired entry. Geographical listing (by countries and, within the United States by states, counties and cities, also arranged alphabetically) is used. for example, under the major heading, **LANDFILL, SANITARY,** and the subheading, **design and operation of.**

For added convenience, four of the most substantial classifications have been separated from the main body of the index and appear at the end of it, also in alphabetical order. **Area Studies** lists articles pertaining to a particular country, state, county, city or other locality. **Authors Index** identifies writers who have contributed bylined material, together with titles of their articles. **Case Studies** refers to activities of specific wastes management firms, and **Personalities** lists (with exceptions noted previously) the names of individuals that have appeared in the pages of the magazine, yearbook and compaction publication.

If more than one heading is applicable to a story or a part thereof, reference to it will be found under all appropriate headings. If the subject sought for is related only to the heading in question, the direction *"see —"* plus the correct identification indicates where to find it. Closely related items are brought to the attention by the phrase *"see also —"* plus the appropriate subject identification.

The reference keys used in the index system are as follows: **Sept: 18** refers to the September, 1973, issue of *Solid Wastes Management Magazine*, page 18. **SIY: 30** indicates page 30 of the 1974 SANITATION INDUSTRY YEARBOOK, and **CH: 47** refers to the COMPACTOR HANDBOOK, page 47.

Stories which appeared in the California edition of the magazine are identified by the letter **C** following the listed page number. Abbreviations used in the index include:

APWA — American Public Works Association.
CH — Compactor Handbook.
EPA — Environmental Protection Agency.
GRCDA — Governmental Refuse Collection and Disposal Association.
NSWMA — National Solid Wastes Management Association.
SIY — 1974 Sanitation Industry Yearbook.

Back issues of *Solid Wastes Management Magazine*, SANITATION INDUSTRY YEARBOOK and the COMPACTOR HANDBOOK or photocopies of selected pages or editions that are out of print, may be obtained from the publisher.

General Subjects

a

accidents
see labor

air pollution
see pollution

aluminum
see metal

animals
attacks by dogs, minimized by curb-service system: May:46
burial of, fees established for: Oct:100C
infestation by, at landfill sites: Nov:26 (New Jersey)
large animal carcasses, special vehicle for disposal of: Feb:46
poultry wastes, disposal of: Nov:24

area studies
see final portion of index

authors' index
see final portion of index

automobiles
abandoned, accumulated at landfill site for more economical crushing: July:68
abandoned, cited in ICC proposal to limit freight increases on scrap metal: Feb:56
carbodies, slabbed for shredding: May:90

b

bags
biodegradable paper, as substitute for metal refuse cans: Apr:42
paper grocery sacks, rejection of: Jan:14 (Letters)
plastic, as aid to collectors: July:14
plastic, in compaction operation: Sept:18
plastic collection, experimental program not successful: July:14
plastic refuse bags, pick-up system for: May:30
plastic, sold at cost to encourage householder use for refuse: Apr:30

baling
at transfer terminal, 600 tons/day: Nov:12 (Massachusetts)
automatic, as center of paper reclamation center: Jan:44
no deterioration of in ocean burial (Honolulu, Hawaii): Aug:80
of mixed refuse: Jan:94

barging
costs of: Apr:60
for ocean disposal: June:23
New York City expands barging operations: Apr:36
of refuse, to incinerator site (Netherlands): Sept:36
of refuse, to landfill site (Solano County, Calif.): May:254C
to help create an artificial island (New York City): Mar:8, 12

bidding
see contracts

billing
as part of EPA operations analysis: June:44
by computer, at landfill site: Oct:24
for extra refuse pickups: Apr:30
of political candidate, for costs of poster disposal: July:58
municipal, for bin rentals: Apr:74
revenue analysis, benefits of: May:73
user-charge financing system: Aug:116C (Fresno, Calif.)
via computer, at Seattle transfer station: Jan:8
see also costs

book reviews
Disposal of Refuse and Other Wastes: Mar:72
Environmental Engineering and Sanitation: Feb:38
Environment Index 72: Sept:72
The Environmental Film Review: Apr:42

bottles
beverage, ban is a victory for ecology: Jan:14 (Letters)
low value set on salvaged glass containers: Apr:50
nonreturnable, Oregon ban on: Jan:14 (Letters); Aug:111C; Sept:90; Dec:46 (effectiveness of the Law; Letters)
nonreturnable beverage, 2-cent refund is legislated (British Columbia): Jan:62
nonreturnable, industry opposition to bans on: Mar:89
nonreturnable, proposal for graduated tax based on capacity: May:82

burial
controlled, for toxic wastes: Aug:32 (Scotland)
daily cover unnecessary for milled refuse, says EPA: Apr:34 (Letters)
long life of hazardous wastes: Nov:30
ocean, of baled refuse: Aug:80 (Honolulu, Hawaii)
of animals, costs of: Oct:100C
of atomic wastes, in sealed wells: Feb:12 (Editorial)
of collected metal cans: July:64 (Fredericksburg, Va.)
of compacted wastes, to restore landscape: June:8 (Atlanta)
of infectious wastes, segregated: Jan:90
of large animal carcasses: Feb:46
of pesticide containers, affidavit required: Aug:118C (Glenn County, Calif.); Dec:100C
of poisonous-chemical containers: Jan:120C
of pulverized wastes: May:86 (Dade County, Fla.)
of "recyclable" containers: Aug:111C (Editorial)
of reduced steel appliances: Mar:22
of refuse, a European development: Oct:10 (Editorial)
of shredded refuse, EPA guidelines: Feb:36
of tires, permits for: Nov:44 (Oregon); Nov:90C (California)
remote, of toxic wastes: Sept:16 (Denver, Colo.)
shredded refuse as landfill cover: Mar:22 (Tacoma, Wash.)
see also landfill, sanitary

burning
alternatives to, for toxic wastes: Aug:32 (Scotland)
ban on adds to collection costs and problems: June:44
compaction seen answer to "no-burn" laws: June:18
of pollutants, controls on: July:56 (England)
open, ban on (California): Jan:118C; Mar:114C; July:116C; Aug:114C; Oct:103C
open, ban on in disposal regulations: Sept:45 (Alaska)
open, ban on increases wastes volume but decreases smoke and ash: July:114C (Sacramento County, Calif.)
open, ban on, pickup problems it creates: Apr:30
open, ban on raises collection costs: Oct:103C (California)
open-burning sites must be converted to sanitary landfill: June:54 (Grant County, Wash.)
open, containerized refuse bins to replace: Jan:120C (Shasta County, Calif.)
open, extensions have expired: Oct:102C (Quincy, Calif.)
open, model law proposes to ban: Apr:52 (Kentucky)
open, sites increasing in number: July:14
open, 24 sites to be closed: July:68 (Twin Falls County, Idaho)
pyrolysis, potentials of: Sept:10 (Editorial)
site replaced by transfer station: May:256C (Tuolumne County, Calif.)
site to become covered landfill: July:8 (Manchester, N.H.)
underground, in experimental project: Sept:167C (Tulare County, Calif.)

c

cans
beverage, 2-cent refund is legislated (British Columbia): Jan:62
collection drive succeeds, but recycling aim fails: July:64
low recovery value of: Aug:111C
Oregon law against littering, effect of: Dec:46 (Letters)
pesticide receptacles, rules for disposal of: Dec:100C (San Joaquin County, Calif.)
pull-tab type, danger to wildlife: Jan:14 (Letters)

pull-tab type, Oregon ban on: Jan:14 (Letters); Aug:111C; Sept:90
tinplate, magnetic-separation recovery of: Apr:98C

case studies

see final portion of index

collection equipment

see equipment

collection and hauling

at food-packing plant: Aug:68
bonus plan for drivers: July:42
by barge *(see barging)*
by rail *(see railhauling)*
code of ethics for: Apr:66 (England)
computerized collection equipment: Aug:10
containers, wheeled, for curb-service operation: May:46
costs: Jan:118C (California); Feb:14 (of manpower); Feb:18 (rate adjustment in Oregon); Feb:32 (of employee accidents); Feb:42 (reduced with one-man crews); Feb:50 (private held cheaper than public); Feb:90C (based on assessed valuation of property); Feb:94C (California); Mar:112C (increased with municipal take-over); Mar:114C (California); Apr:22 (Bowling Green, Ky.); Apr:36 (of wasted barging); Apr:74 (hilly terrain increases rates); Apr:98C (one-man crews save $5 per ton in pickup); Apr:102C (inflationary pressures on); Apr:102C (rate hike approved by customers); May:16 (effect on quality of service; Editorial); May:40 (permanent rate structure in Oregon County); May:46 (curbside pickup); May:73 (applying revenue analysis); May:86 (Dade County); May:94 (reduced by private enterprise); June:114C (California); July:14 (of private collection); July:116C (California); Aug:10 (reduced by computer control); Aug:30 (increasing at high rate); Aug:114C (California); Sept:40 (Aurora, Colo.); Sept:167C (rate hike); Oct:103C (open-burning ban raises collection costs); Nov:30 (of employee accidents); Nov:44 (of scrap-tire disposal); Nov:90C (affected by new disposal rules); Dec:104C (Stanislaus County, Calif.)
crew productivity, analysis of: Aug:74
day in the life of a hauler: Jan:122C
drop-box stations proposed: Oct:98C (Tuolumne County, Calif.)
environmental aspects of: Feb:38 (book review)
environmental laws; effect on collection/disposal rates: Mar:114C
equipment for *(see equipment)*
equipment turnover accelerated: May:73
federal incentives: July:26
fuel for, government priority: Aug:14
future of: Sept:10 (Editorial)
hauling compacted wastes, costs of: CH:30; charges for roll-off bodies: CH:34; revenue determination: CH:31; unprofitable accounts: CH:30 (locating and rectifying); volume as prime cost factor: CH:33.
history of equipment for: Apr:24
impact of rising wastes volume: Nov:34
in Rotterdam, Netherlands: Sept:36
in Scotland: Aug:32
inflation, impact on collection rates: Mar:102C (California)
innovation, benefits of: Nov:40
insurance program available: May:140
interstate movement of, restricted by law: June:16 (New Jersey)
legislation, impacts of: Apr:30; May:82; June:16; Aug:12, 34; Sept:45, 54, 162C; Oct:8, 30; Nov:90C (California)
licensing required: June:42 (England)
mandatory: Jan:118C (San Francisco); Feb:92C (Santa Cruz County, Calif.); June:44; (July:116C; Aug:82 (Pacific County, Wash.); Aug:118C (Modesto, Calif.); Sept:161C (Editorial); Oct:98C, 102C (California); SIY:62 (sample ordinance for)
model law, as industry guideline: Apr:52; May:80
noisy equipment, control of: Oct:36
of atomic wastes: Feb:12 (Kentucky)
of baled refuse, for landfill: Nov:12 (Massachusetts)
of bulk paper wastes: Sept:34
of discarded refrigerators: July:110C
of hazardous liquids, future role: Dec:38
of hazardous wastes: June:110C; Sept:48 (England)
of infectious materials: Jan:90
of large animal carcasses: Feb:46
of liquid and toxic wastes: Sept:16
of mixed metals, for separations: Apr:98C (California)
of recoverable materials: Dec:34 (Boston, Mass.)
of reduced bulk rubbish: Mar:22 (Tacoma, Wash.)
of scrap tires: Nov:44
of semi-liquid wastes: June:52
of tourist-generated trash: Nov:22 (Vermont)
of toxic materials: Feb:34
of urban refuse, sample contract for: SIY:24
of wastes from high-rise building: Jan:20; Feb:26; Mar:50
one-man crews: Feb:42; Apr:98C; May:46; Oct:12
open burning, effect of ban on collection costs: Oct:103C
private, U.S. survey of: Mar:44; Apr:10; May:10; June:10; July:10; Aug:16; Sept:25
private: Jan:30 (efficient management pays off); Jan:44 (for paper reclamation); Feb:10 (in mountainous terrain); Feb:14 (manpower employed by); Feb:30 (training reduces injuries); Feb:34 (private contractors handle 90% of industrial solid wastes); Feb:40 (seminars on safety rules); Feb:50 (less costly than public); Mar:44 (more than 50% are proprietorships); Apr:10 containers play major role in operations); Apr:20 (meteoric rise of, in England); Apr:30 (legislation begets problems); Apr:49 (night hauling banned in Chicago); Apr:66 (code of ethics adopted in England); May:10 (direct contracts are dominant); May:30 (benefits of diversity and flexibility); May:38 (adapting to change); May:46 (curbside pickup operation); May:52 (color-coded routing); May:67 (handling excess tonnage); May:73 (faster equipment turnover heightens efficiency); May:88 (improving public relations); May:94 (more efficient than public); June:10 (government franchises are predominant); June:36 (changing profile of); June:52 (semi-liquid wastes removal); June:114C (rated best in public interest); July:10 (survey of vehicle ownership); July:14 (solves rural collection problems); July:34 (increased capacity improves productivity); July:42 (motivating employees); July:110C (refrigerator retrieval); Aug:10 (computer controls); Aug:14 (weight and dimension limits on trucks in New York); Aug:38 (expanding services); Sept:25 (types of vehicles vary by region); Sept:34 (for mining school); Sept:82 (visit by Russian officials); Oct:10 (international aspects; Editorial); Oct:12 (servicing subterranean garages); Nov:8 (EPA's Samuel Hale joins private firm); Nov:32 (total-services concept); Dec:16 (management plan); Dec:36 (promises and opportunities of); SIY: 52 (collects 73% of nation's solid wastes)
private and public: Jan:32 (benefits of cooperation); Jan:46 (EPA research to upgrade systems); Feb:20 (use of marginal workers); Feb:48 (affected by Oklahoma legislation); Mar:30 (workshop on public/private interface); Mar:48 (national land-use policy recommended); Mar:80 (safety seminars for); Apr:66 (England); May:68 (Holbrook, Ariz.); June:32 (bureaucratic red tape hurts efficiency); June:36 (expanding partnership); July:32 (political considerations); July:78 (Holbrook, Ariz.); July:112C (Salinas, Calif.); Aug:14 (fuel priorities); Aug:116C (franchishing plan); Sept:40 (Aurora, Colo.); Nov:12 (transfer terminal baling for landfill); Nov:26 (punishing violators of safety code); Nov:30 of hazardous wastes; Nov:46 (NSWMA expands services); Dec:25 (pointers on contract agreements); SIY:108 (model contract)
private vs. public: Jan:117C (Editorial); Feb:50 (attack on municipal monopolies); Feb:94C (DelMonte Park, Calif.); Mar:16 (Letters); Mar:34 (folly of generalizations); Mar:112C (Imperial Beach, Calif.); May:94 (Lincoln Park, Mich.); May:253C (San Francisco; Editorial); June:109C (Editorial); July:114C (Yolo, Calif.); Oct:102C (Antioch, Calif.); Nov:48 (private more economical); Dec:8 (landmark suit in Tampa, Fla.)
producer/user relationship: Nov:38
productivity, achievements in: July:40
program for employee protection: SIY:10
public: Jan:8 (automated transfer station, Seattle); Jan:34 (salaries of

crewmen); Jan:50 (revised plan saves $6.3 million); Feb:42 (one-man collection crews); Feb:60 (St. Louis); Mar:8, 12 (trash island proposed for New York Bay); Mar:34 (instilling personal pride upgrades service); Mar:109C (San Francisco); Apr:14 (collection workers have highest accident rate among municipal employees); Apr:22 (Bowling Green, Ky.); Apr:40 (overcoming job boredom); Apr:98C (switch to one-man crews saves money); May:8 (in national parklands); May:98 (Allegheny County, Pa.); May:176 (Memphis workers honor Martin Luther King); May:256C (ordinance on trash receptacles in Laguna Beach, Calif.); June:40 (Oregon); Sept:16 (Denver, Colo.); Nov:22 (control of tourist-generated trash):
public relations, brochure: Aug:52
subsurface bins, handling of: Oct:12
technical advances in (seminar): Apr:38
to fill in washed-out gullies: Mar:10 (Omaha, Neb.)
to transfer station: Feb:16 (Detroit)
user charges for environmental services: Dec:32

collection systems

automated data at transfer station: Jan:8 (Seattle)
bag collection, abused by residents: July:16
box collection system: Apr:76 (Lane County, Ore.)
centralized system speeds collection/processing: Apr:32 (Albany, N.Y.)
color coding streamlines operations: May:52 (Miami)
computerized: Aug:10; Oct:24
convenience-center concept: Apr:100C (Yolo County, Calif.)
cramped-space problem, solution to: Dec:10 (Montreal, Canada)
curb service operation: May:46 (Fayetteville, Ga.)
in high-rise buildings: Jan:20; Feb:26; Mar:50
operations analysis by EPA: June:44
organized plans to be submitted: Dec:99C (California)
resource recovery system: Dec:34 (Philadelphia, Pa.)
San Francisco's system rated most sophisticated: Mar:109C (Editorial)
transfer-terminal baling for landfill: Nov:12 (Massachusetts)
vacuum collection: May:76 (New York City); May:144 (Walt Disney World, Fla.)
see also collection and hauling

communication

computer monitoring: Aug:10
with customers, as public-relations gesture: May:88

compaction

see compactors

compactors

activation of: CH:22
advantages of: CH:35 (economics)
a look at the future: Aug:8 (Editorial)
alarm system signals malfunctions: Sept:76
apartment/institutional, specifications of: CH:101
as part of proposed computer control system: Oct:67 (Tokyo)
at paper-reclamation plant: Jan:44 (Newark, N.J.)
at transfer stations: June:34 (St. Etienne, France)
automatic dumper attachment improves efficiency: Aug:68
built-in, part of Chicago housing plan: Mar:54
collection mix as equipment determinant: Aug:16
compaction vehicles: Jan:122C; Feb:14, 42; Apr:24 (historical), 30; May:30, 46, 52; July:10 (survey), 14, 34, 60 (electrically powered); Aug:10, 12 (size limits imposed by New York City), 16; Sept:8 (safety standards), 16, 25 (types vary by region), 34, 40, 104 (tables of data); Oct:12, 23 (safety standards), 36 (noise reduction)
costing: CH:36
cycle time: CH:25
damage to, avoidance of: CH:41
definition of terms: Oct:23
determining location in high-rise and other buildings: Oct:18
economics of: CH:29
esthetics of: CH:36
factors in selection, intangible: CH:26 (buckling, compaction ratio, equipment capability, required PSI, weight limits (hoist and highway), loading limitations
factors in selection, tangible: CH:24 (size of charging area); CH:25 (physical dimensions); CH:25 (cycle time); CH:25 (pressure on ram face); CH:25 (ram penetration); CH:25 (volume displacement)
financial aspects: CH:35 (of installing stationary compactors)
for automobiles: July:68
for more efficient railhauling: Jan:94 (Cleveland); Apr:8 (Cleveland); June:8 (Atlanta)
glossary of terms: CH:43
high-rise systems, planning for, critical considerations: CH:29
horizontal extruders: CH:23 (mainly for apartment buildings); CH:23 (operation of extrusion system)
horizontally activated: CH:19 (definition of, general); CH:20 (operating principles): CH:20 (ram system); CH:20 (hopper-fed); CH:21 (chute-fed); CH:21 (through-the-wall); CH:21 (conveyor loading); CH:21 (special features); CH:21 (loading variations)
improved equipment upgrades efficiency: July:34
industrial/commercial, specifications of: CH:77
in high-rise buildings: Jan:24; May:76; Sept:18; Oct:18
in landfill operations: Mar:10 (Omaha, Neb.); Mar:22 (Tacoma, Wash.); May:64 (in landfill planning); May:116 (Franklin County, Utah); June:28 (requirements for); July:8 (Manchester, N.H.); July:30 (Federal guidelines); Sept:16 (Denver), 45 (Alaskan regulations), 52 (Polk County, Fla.); Nov:12 (Massachusetts)
installation, maintenance, servicing agreement: SIY:56
interchangeable compactor containers: May:52
machinery selection checklist (partial): CH:27
maintenance of: abrasive damage, how to prevent: CH:41; cold weather maintenance: CH:41; control valve: CH:38; cylinder: CH:38; hydraulic oil: CH:38; hydraulic systems, failure and correction: CH:37; hydraulic troubles, checklist for: CH:39 (noisy pump, leakage, pump failure, loss of pressure, erratic action); limit switches, malfunction and avoidance of: CH:42; pump: CH:37; pump suction strainer: CH:37; relief valve: CH:37.
noise, reduction of: Oct:36
not suitable for certain refuse: July:8
packer units, predominance of: July:10
problems of poor chute design: Mar:51
problems in handling bagged refuse: July:16
rating criteria, apartment-institution: CH:53; industrial-commercial: CH:50; industrial-commercial parameters used in rating criteria: CH:52 (table); NSWMA Stationary Compactor Committee rating criteria for high-rises: Jan:60
recommended for institutional operations: Feb:38 (book review)
reduced pickup by using: CH:36
replacing incinerators: Feb:60 (St. Louis)
safety of: CH:36
solution to no-burn legislation: June:18
space savings of: CH:36
stationary: designing for in architects' plans: Jan:24; extensive use of: Jan:30; replacing incinerators; Feb:60 (St. Louis); in high-rise structures: Jan:24, Mar:51; concentrated among large contractors: Apr:10; pneumatic feed system: Apr:50; one-man operation of: May:64; in concert with incineration: May:67; in vacuum collection system for high-rise buildings: May:76; more economical than incineration: June:18; impact on tonnage increases of contractor operations: July:40; model lease agreement for: July:44; safety standards proposed for: Sept:8; tandem installation in high-rise apartment; Sept:18; American origins of: Oct:10 (Editorial); Nov:18 (proposed safety standards for); Dec:10 (replacing incinerators); SIY:56 (compactor agreement, model)
steel-wheeled units increase density up to 30%: June:60
switch to compactors, reasons for: CH:35
technical growth of: Apr:24
to help create artificial island: Mar:8 (New York)
to reclaim open-burning dump: July:8 (Manchester, N.H.)
types of: CH:19
U.S. survey of: Apr:10
used for ocean burial: Aug:80 (Honolulu)

vertically activated: CH:22 (general factors); CH:23 (carousel packers); CH:23 (load capacities)

compactor-containers

detachable: CH:20 (horizontal)
drop-off and hoist: CH:20 (horizontal)
ejection: CH:20 (horizontal)
frozen, emptying of: CH:42
movable, for collection: CH:23
roll-off: CH:20 (horizontal)
size range of: CH:23
tilt-hoist: CH:47 (examples of)
tilt-hoist, functional components of: CH:44

composting

continued failure of: Feb:38 (book review)
pilot project gets EPA funds: May:258C (California)
SPUR plan challenged again: May:253C (Editorial)
to be adopted by San Francisco: Mar:109C (Editorial)

computers

see electronic data processing

conferences, equipment shows and seminars

American Public Works Assn.; collection/disposal workshops: Mar:56; Apr:38, 97C; Sept:96; Nov:78 (upcoming)
APWA, National Public Works Week: May:84
APWA, Public Works Congress and Equipment Show: Sept:12
AVFALL, International Refuse Handling Exposition: Dec:14
Midwest Refuse Contractors Assn.; semi-annual meeting: Sept:96
NSWMA Equipment Exposition and Technical Symposium: May:216; International Refuse Equipment Show and Congress: Jan:102, Mar:28, Apr:18D, May:18 (program), July:12 (editorial); on public-private interface: Mar:30; on solid wastes management: Dec:101C (Ventura, Calif.); regional, on occupational safety rules: Feb:40, Mar:80; U.S. Environmental Protection Agency, Congress on Resource Recovery, Wastes Processing and Sanitary Landfill: Sept:92, Dec:66
United Nations Conference on the Human Environment: Sept:72 (book review)
U.S.-Russian information exchange: Sept:82

containerization

see containers

containers

bag system, abuse of: July:16 (Stayton, Ore.)
bins, preferred to large storage areas: Apr:30 (Vallejo, Calif.)
bins, sealed, for semi-liquid wastes: June:52
bins, subsurface, handling of: Oct:12 (Hermosa Beach, Calif.)
collection, at transfer stations: Feb:90C (Shasta County, Calif.)
computer control of: Aug:10 (San Francisco)
concrete, for urban trash: Feb:50
consolidation of: Sept:52 (Polk County, Fla.)
curbside, to cut collection budget: Jan:50 (Cleveland); May:46 (Fayetteville, Ga.); Aug:114C (California); Sept:16 (Denver); Sept:40 (Aurora, Colo.)
detachable, history of: Apr:24
drop boxes: levies for: May:40 (Washington County, Ore.); at transfer station: Oct:98C (Tuolumne County, Calif.)
for campus wastes collection: Sept:34 (Denver)
for liquid and toxic wastes: Sept:16 (Denver); Sept:48 (London, England)
growing in use: June:36
hydraulic winching of heavy bins: Oct:12 (Hermosa Beach, Calif.)
in new routing system: May:52 (Miami)
location of, decided by road commissioners: July:14 (Monroe County, Ill.)
misuse of, monitored by citizens: July:80 (Monroe County, Ill.)
open-burning ban: effects on container-collection fees: Oct:103C (California)
open-top, sheds for: Sept:134
pesticide, rules for: Aug:118C (Glenn County, Calif.); Oct:100C (Los Banos, Calif.)
plastic bags: Sept:18 (New York City)
refuse: privacy of upheld: Jan:64 (California); sufficient supply mandated: Jan:118C (San Francisco); sealed, to control wastes volume: Feb:42 (Miamisburg, Ohio); tagged, to insure retrieval: Mar:112C; bagged, to ease collection: Apr:30; in national parklands: May:8; wheeled, for curb service: May:46; rules on weight and storage: May:256C (Orange County, Calif.); charges for: June:114C (California), July:116C (California); Aug:114C (California); Sept:40 (Aurora, Colo.)
removed to convenience center: Apr:100C (Yolo County, Calif.)
roll-off: chain operation at landfill: June:34 (St. Etiennes, France); in organic-refuse collection: June:52 (Camden, N.J.); July:10 (survey of private haulers); July:14 (in rural collections); Aug:10 (in computerized operation); dominant among large haulers: Aug:16 (survey); Sept:25 (survey); Sept:48 (for hazardous wastes); Oct:18 (in compaction locations); Dec:10 (to help solve cramped-space problem)
safety standards proposed for: Oct:23
stationary, use in private sector: Apr:10
steel drums, for chemicals and other industrial wastes: Oct:42 (Pasco, Wash.)
street receptacles: vary around the world: July:50; candidate billed for cleaning of: July:58
transfer, as part of new disposal system: Apr:76 (Lane County, Ore.)

container packers

specifications of: CH:130
summary of: CH:23

containers for compactors

damage protection: CH:28
guide systems for: CH:46
ram penetration into: CH:25 (factor in selection)
see also compactor-containers
specifications of: CH:135
supports for: CH:46 (tilt-frame)

contracts

between city and private transfer station: SIY:100 (model)
bidding, potential flaws of: May:16 (Editorial)
composition of private contractors: Mar:44 (survey)
direct, magnitude of: May:10 (survey)
fixed packers, model contract for: July:44
for collection and disposal, borough-private: SIY:108 (model)
for collection and disposal of urban refuse: SIY:24 (model)
for compactor installation and servicing: SIY:56 (model)
for railhaul operation: Jan:94 (Cleveland); June:8 (Atlanta)
franchises, government: June:10 (survey)
franchising: May:10 (survey); Aug:116C (Fresno County, Calif.)
government license required: June:42 (England)
influence on equipment selection: Feb:68
municipal turnover of handling function: May:68 (Holbrook, Ariz.)
points to be considered: Dec:25
ten-year extension of: July:112C (Salinas, Calif.)
to avoid municipal monopoly on collection: Feb:50
turnkey, for transfer station: Mar:18 (Knoxville, Tenn.)

costs

analysis of: Nov:38; Dec:16
bidding: May:16 (Editorial)
computerized: at transfer station: Jan:8 (Seattle); at landfill site: Oct:24 (Anne Arundel County, Md.)
consumer price index, impact on: Aug:114C (California)
crew productivity, analysis: Aug:74
depreciation of equipment: June:31
equipment operating expenses: Sept:58 (Table)
federal revenue sharing: Dec:32
fines for sanitary-code violations: Nov:26 (New Jersey)
inflation, impact on: Apr:102C (California); July:116C (California)
of animal burial: Oct:100C
of bans on open burning: Oct:103C (California)
of barging: Apr:36 (New York City)
of cleaning litter bins: July:58
of collection and hauling: see collection and hauling
of complying with environmental laws: Mar:114C (California)
of disposal: Jan:26 (table based on population and refuse generation); Jan:118C (California); Mar:64 (Lewis County, Wash.); July:14

(rural problems); Aug:30 (rising faster than population growth); Sept:40 (Aurora, Colo.); Oct:42 (reclaiming industrial discards); Nov:26 (fines for sanitary-code violations); Nov:90C (affected by new standards); Dec:50 (Vermont plan)
of disposal sites: June:54 (Grant County, Wash.)
of drop-box stations: Oct:98C (Tuolumne County, Calif.)
of drop-box stations: Oct:98C (Tuolumne County, Calif.)
May:67 (Chicago); June:18 (New York); Dec:52
of inventory control: Aug:10 (San Francisco)
of labor: Jan:34 (U.S. statistics); Feb:22 (absenteeism); Feb:30, 32 (accidents); Apr:98C (one-man crews); July:34; Nov:30 (losses due to injury)
of land reclamation plan: May:258C (California)
of landfill operation: Feb:8 (Mountain View, Calif.); Mar:64 (Lewis County, Wash.); Dec:54 (table)
of mandatory collection: Jan:118C (San Francisco)
of mandatory pickup plan: Aug:82 (Pacific County, Wash.)
of milling: Feb:36
of modernizing solid wastes systems: Jan:46 (EPA research)
of paper reclamation: Jan:44 (Newark, N.J.)
of political tribute: July:32
of pollution-monitoring equipment: Mar:50
of proposed vacuum system: May:76 (New York City)
of railhauling: Jan:94 (Cleveland); Feb:56 (metal scrap rates); June:8 (Atlanta)
of receptacle maintenance: Feb:50
of recycling: Mar:16 (Letters); July:23, 64 (failure of)
of sanitary landfill acquisition: Jan:10 (Kansas City, Mo.)
of services, extra: Apr:102C (Contra Costa, Calif.)
of site development: Mar:10 (Omaha, Neb.)
of solid-wastes management plan: Feb:18 (Oregon)
of taxation: Jan:117C (Editorial)
of tire maintenance: May:103
of transfer station: Feb:16 (Detroit); May:90 (Clark County, Wash.)
operational: June:114C (California)
public vs. private collection: Feb:50; May:94 (Lincoln Park, Ill.)
reduced by compactor operations: Jan:20
relationship to quantities: Nov:40
revenue analysis: May:73 (Miami)
subsidies, recommended by EPA: Mar:78

d

disposal

see collection and hauling — collection systems — litter — refuse — trash — wastes — compactors

dumping

a dump is not a landfill: June:12 (Editorial)
as foundations of civilization: Jan:12 (Editorial)
automatic, in conjunction with compactor: Aug:68 (Oakland, Calif.)
in national parklands: May:8
mini-dump concept: Sept:52 (Polk County, Fla.)
ocean: Apr:36 (New York City expands operation); June:23 (international guidelines proposed); Aug:80 (of baled wastes, at Honolulu)
of industrial wastes: July:56 (England)
of oil: Aug:32 (Scotland)
of poisonous wastes: Aug:32 (Scotland)
of refrigerators, campaign against: July:110C (California)
of semi-liquid wastes: June:52
open: replaced by sanitary landfill: Jan:10 (Kansas City, Mo.); Apr:22 (Bowling Green, Ky.); Apr:54: (model law would prohibit); June:54 (Grant County, Wash.); July:8 (Manchester, N.H.)
prohibited, in state law, where population exceeds 5,000: Feb:48 (Oklahoma)

e

ecology

a mountain of litter: Feb:54 (Mt. McKinley, Alaska)
atomic wastes, disposal of: Feb:12 (Editorial)
ban on nonreturnable containers: Jan:62 (Canada; proposed); Aug:111C (Oregon law, Editorial); Sept:90 (success of Oregon law in reducing litter); Dec:46 (background and effectiveness of Oregon law)
degradable plastics: Feb:18
environmental laws, impact of: Mar:114C (California); Apr:76 (Lane County, Ore.); June:16 (New Jersey); Dec:10 (Montreal)
Environmental Protection Agency: Jan:46 (research projects); Feb:36 (on shredded refuse); Mar:78 (proposes subsidies to encourage recycling); Apr:34 (minimizing environmental hazards in landfill); May:258C: (funds land reclamation plan); June:44 (provides operations analysis); July:30 (proposed landfill guidelines); Oct:8 (provisions of Hazardous Waste Management Act); Nov:30 (report on hazardous liquid wastes)
fir trees screen disposal site: Mar:64 (Lewis County, Wash.)
hazardous/toxic wastes disposals challenge to industry: July:12 (Editorial); Oct:30
hazardous wastes; June:110C (report procedure for; Los Angeles); Oct:8: text of Hazardous Waste Management Act of 1973
in landfill planning (see landfill, sanitary)
leachate action controlled at landfill: Mar:10 (Omaha, Neb.)
license required for disposal, by environmental agency: June:42 (England)
litter index, for comparative analysis: May:8
litter legislation and programs, impact of: Jan:14 (Letters); July:23
metal-recovery facility: Apr:98C (San Francisco)
misguided programs, danger of: Aug:8 (Editorial)
motion pictures on: Apr:42 (book review)
noise, reduction of: Oct:36 (El Segundo, Calif.)
open, replaced by sanitary landfill: Dec:38 (aspects for future)
open burning, ban on increases collection costs: Oct:103C (California)
pesticide container burial; permit required: Aug:118C (Glenn County, Calif.); Dec:100C (San Joaquin County, Calif.)
plastic lining, in man-made island: Feb:8 (New York City; proposed)
refuse handling, environmental aspects of: Feb:38 (book review)
refuse heap becomes botanic garden: Aug:46 (Los Angeles)
resource recovery: Nov:16 (questionable economics of; Editorial); Nov:50 (APWA proposal for); Dec:34 (joint venture system for); Dec:44 (cost analysis)
resource recovery, model law for: Apr:52; May:80
shredded refuse: cover for: Feb:36
solid-wastes law, provisions of: Feb:48 (Oklahoma); Sept:45 (Alaska)
solid wastes programs, federal perspective: July:26; Sept:54
toxic materials: Feb:34 (problems of); May:82; (federal legislation); July:32 (land disposal of in Scotland); Sept:48 (removed from old air-raid shelter in London)
trash burning, ban on: Feb:48 (Oklahoma)
underground burning reduces emissions: Sept:167C (Tulare County, Calif.)
upsurge in interest: Sept:72 (book review)
violations of sanitary code: Nov:26 (New Jersey)
wastes generated by tourists: Nov:22 (Vermont)
see also litter-recycling-pollution

electronic data processing

at landfill site, to ease bookkeeping: Oct:24
at transfer station: Jan:8
computer-controlled hauling equipment: Aug:10 (San Francisco)
to control vacuum collection in high-rise buildings: May:76 (New York City)
to regulate discharge volume: Apr:32 (Albany, N.Y.)

energy

cost analysis of energy from recovered wastes: Dec:44
for utility and industrial boilers, from recovered wastes: Dec:34 (Philadelphia, Pa.)
from mixed refuse, questionable economics: Nov:16 (Editorial)

environmental control

see ecology — recycling — legislation — pollution

equipment

APWA equipment show: Sept:12
accessory blades, for special jobs: July:76
aircraft, in litter partrol: Sept:162C (San Francisco)
automation, recommended in high-rise buildings: Mar:50
bag-collection: July:16 (Stayton, Ore.)
balers: Jan:43 (at paper reclamation plant); June:8 (for railhaul)
color-coded routing system for: May:52 (Miami, Fla.)
compactor agreement: SIY:56 (Model)
compactor/dumper combination: Aug:68 (Oakland, Calif.)
compactors, in high-rise buildings: Jan:20; Mar:50; Sept:18; Oct:18
compactors, stationary: see compactors
computers: Jan:8 (at Seattle transfer station); Apr:32 (Albany, N.Y.); May:76 (automatic programming of collection system); Aug:10 (for hauling equipment); Oct:24 (at landfill); Oct:67 (refuse-handling system)
container/packer: Apr:30 (Vallejo, Calif.)
containerized: Feb:10 (Aspen, Colo.)
costs of: Feb:50 (receptacle maintenance); Mar:50 (pollution monitoring equipment); May:103 (tire maintenance); May:76 (vacuum collection system); June:31 (depreciation); Sept:58 (operating expenses); Oct:98C (drop-box stations)
dictated by collection mix: Aug:16
electric refuse vehicles, revival of: July:60 (Paris)
fixed packers, model contract for: July:44
for clearing landfill acreage: July:46
for use in snowy country: Feb:10 (Aspen, Colo.)
fueling, manifold system: Oct:40
grinding: see grinding
high-performance, to reduce manpower: Feb:14 (survey)
history of: Apr:24
incinerators: see incineration and incinerators
increasing capacity of, effect on operations: July:34 (Washington)
innovations in, benefits of: Nov:40
landfill; planning, selection and operation of: May:64, 150 (table); June:28
magnetic separator, for metal recovery: Apr:98C (San Francisco)
night hauling banned: Apr:49 (Chicago)
noise reduction of: Oct:36 (El Segundo, Calif.)
one-man collection vehicles: Feb:42; Apr:98C; Oct:12
packers, make up 85% of pickup vehicles: Feb:14 (survey)
planned replacement of, economic benefits: May:73 (Miami, Fla.)
pollution laws, effect on: Dec:10 (Montreal)
pollution monitoring: Mar:50
pulverizing: see pulverizing
replacing incinerators: Nov:12 (Massachusetts)
represents 35% of landfill-operation outlay: Jan:26
safety checklist for: SIY:10
safety seminars on: Jan:98; Feb:40; Mar:80
safety standards for: Nov:18 (compactors)
safety standards, proposed: Sept:8 (rear-loading vehicles); Oct:23 (side-loading packer trucks)
shredding: shredding
specifications, solid wastes handling equipment: SIY:123-247
television, closed circuit, for monitoring: May:204
three-axle trucks, weight limits extended: Nov:92C (California)
tires, maintenance of: May:103; June:70; July:66; Aug:72; Oct:38; Dec:60
transfer containers, portable: Apr:76 (Lane County, Ore.)
truck requirements, questionnaire: July:91
truck size and weight, state limits on: Jan:36 (chart); New York City limits on: Aug:12; Dec:56
types of, used by private cartmen: Apr:10 (survey)
used, tips on trading in: June:31
vacuum collection system-or high-rise buildings: May:76 (New York City)
variety of, for collection diversity: May:30 (Pompano Beach, Fla.)
vehicle for collecting large carcasses: Feb:46
vehicles, large, ownership of: July:10 (survey)
vehicles, maintenance of: Sept:16 (Denver)
vehicles, types of, vary by region and city size: Sept:25 (survey)
vehicular accidents, rules of behavior: June:51
warm-weather operation of: July:72
wastes systems, improvements needed: Nov:38
wheeled containers, for curbside pickup: May:46 (Fayetteville, Ga.)
winching, to handle subsurface bins: Oct:12

see also containers

g

glass

component of urban wastes, by weight: Nov:22 (Vermont)
comprises 34 to 61% of urban wastes: Feb:26
separated from recyclable wastes: Jan:92

government agencies, U.S., federal

Atomic Energy Commission: Feb:12
Department of Health, Education & Welfare: Jan:20; Apr:40, 42 (Bureau of Solid Wastes Management)
Department of Housing & Urban Development: Jan:11
Department of the Interior: July:110C
Department of Labor: Jan:34; Aug:34, 114C; Oct:14
Department of Transportation: June:86
Environmental Protection Agency: Jan:10, 20, 32, 46, 50; Feb:8, 14, 30, 36; Mar:10, 18, 44, 52, 78; Apr:10, 34 (Letters), 44, 76; May:8, 10, 20, 82; June:36, 44, 56 (Letters); July:12, 26, 30, 62; Aug:16, 18; Sept:8, 25, 90, 92; Oct:8; Nov:8, 30; Dec:36, 38, 46 (Letters); SIY:40
Environmental Protection Agency, Solid Wastes Management Office: Jan:26, 32, 36, 94; Mar:22; Apr:8, 12; May:220; June:44; July:78; Aug:74; Sept:54; Oct:10; Nov:8
Interstate Commerce Commission: Feb:56; July:78
Occupational Safety & Health Administration: Feb:40; Mar:80; Aug:34; Sept:8; Oct:14, 23
Office of Oil and Gas: Aug:14
Small Business Administration: Nov:44
U.S. Army Corps of Engineers: Feb:8, 89C; May:254C; July:110C; Sept:162C
U.S. Forest Service: June:112C
U.S. Government Purchasing Office: May:212
U.S. Patent Office: Apr:24; Sept:72

government agencies, U.S., state & local

Alameda County (Calif.) Planning Commission: June:56 (Letters)
Alaska Department of Environmental Conservation: Sept:45
Allegheny County (Pa.) Wastes Systems Management Department: May:98
Baton Rouge (La.) Department of Public Works: June:32; Oct:44
California Regional Water Quality Control Board: June:112C
California State Department of Food & Agriculture: June:110C
California State Department of Public Health: Feb:34
California State Solid Wastes Management Board: Dec:99C
California Water Control Resources Board: Dec:12
California Water Quality Control Board: Aug:118C
Chicago Department of Environmental Control: Apr:49
Chicago Department of Streets & Sanitation: Feb:30; Apr:49
Chicago Housing Authority: Mar:54
Cincinnati Environmental Task Force: Oct:34 (Letters)
Dade County (Fla.) Wastes Division: May:86
Denver Public Works Department: Sept:16
Detroit Department of Public Services: May:94
Hawaii Office of Environmental Quality Control: Aug:80
Jefferson County (Ala.) Health Department: Sept:30
Lincoln County (Ore.)- Solid Wastes Committee: June:88
Los Angeles Board of Supervisors: Aug:47
Los Angeles County Department of Arboreta and Botanic Gardens: Aug:46
Los Angeles County Sanitation Districts: June:110C
Louisiana Air Control Commission: Oct:26
Louisiana Governor's Council on Environmental Quality: Oct:26, 44

Louisiana State Department of Agriculture: July:32
Louisiana Stream Control Commission: Oct:26
Maine Department of Environmental Protection: Oct:34 (Letters)
Michigan Bureau of Safety: Aug:38
New Jersey Dept. of Environmental Protection: Nov:26
New Jersey Department of Transportation: June:16
New Jersey Environmental Protection Commission: June:16
New Jersey Public Utilities Commission: Aug:38
New York City Bureau of Weights & Measures: Aug:12
New York City Consumer Affairs Department: Mar:62 (Letters)
New York City Department of Highways: Feb:50
New York City Department of Sanitation: Feb:46; Apr:36
New York City Environmental Protection Administration: Mar:16 (Letters); July:58
New York City Housing Authority: Sept:18
New York State Department of Environmental Conservation: May:40
New York State Department of Motor Vehicles: Aug:12
Oregon State Department of Environmental Quality: Feb:18; Apr:76; June:88; July:16; Aug:52
St. Louis Air Pollution Control Div: Feb:60
St. Louis Housing Authority: Feb:60
Salinas (Calif.) City Council: July:112C
San Francisco Department of Health: Mar:66
San Francisco Department of Public Works: Mar:66
San Francisco Refuse Collection & Disposal Rate Board: Mar:66
San Joaquin (Calif.) County Agricultural Dept; Dec:100C
Santa Cruz County Solid Wastes Management Committee: Feb:92C
Sarpy (Neb.) Soil & Water Conservation District: Mar:10
Southwest Washington State Air Pollution Control Authority: May:90
Southwest Washington State Health Department: May:90
Washington State Department of Ecology: Aug:82; Sept:140
Washington State Utilities & Transportation Commission: Mar:64; July:38

government, British

British Public Health Act of 1936, effect on refuse collection and disposal: Mar:72 (book review)
Clyde River Board, Scotland; reviews land disposal of poisonous wastes: Aug:32
Department of Environment, England: June:42
Disposal of Poisonous Wastes Act (England): July:56
Greater London Council; urges U.S.-model transfer station: Mar:109C; signs railhaul contract: July:18
non-returnable containers; proposed ban by British Columbia: Jan:62

grinding

household units, growing in popularity: Feb:28
see also shredding — pulverizing

h

hauling

see collection and hauling

historical

landfill sites, history of: Jan:12
refuse equipment, history of: Apr:24

hospitals and institutions

central refuse-handling system: Apr:32 (Albany, N.Y.)
handling semi-liquid wastes: June:52
infectious materials, disposal of at private nursing homes: Jan:90 (Washington)
pneumatic container/packer service at hospital: Apr:50 (Vallejo, Calif.)

i

incineration and incinerators

abandonment of: Mar:109C (San Francisco); Oct:98C (Tuolumne County, Calif.); Dec:10 (Montreal)
as a source of power: Sept:10 (Editoral); Sept:36 (Rotterdam, the Netherlands); Nov:16 (Editorial); Dec:34 (Philadelphia, Pa.); Dec:44 (cost analysis)
at transfer station: Feb:16 (Detroit) May:67 (Chicago)
ban on, effect on collection costs: Oct:103C (California)
costs of: May:67 (Chicago)
European origins of: Oct:10 (Editorial)
in high-rise structures: Feb:26 (characteristics of); Feb:60 (St. Louis, Mo.); Mar:50 (Chicago)
largest plant in Europe: Sept:36 (Rotterdam, the Netherlands)
legislation against: June:18 (White Plains, N.Y.); June:44
of infectious materials: Jan:90 (Washington)
oil, problems of: Dec:50
replaced by compactors: June:18 (White Plains, N.Y.); Sept:18 (New York City); Oct:18 (by control regulations); Dec:10 (Montreal)
steam "cooker": Apr:56
underground system: Sept:167C (Tulare County, Calif.)

l

labor

absenteeism: Feb:22
accidents: Jan:98 (safety seminars); Feb:30 (reduced with proper training); Feb:32 (drain on resources); Feb:40 (OSHA seminars); Mar:80 (regional seminars); Apr:14 (highest among collection personnel), 18 (statistical table); May:140 (NSWMA insurance program); May:220 (model reporting/analysis system); June:36 (legislation); June:51 (rules for highway mishaps); Aug:34 (OSHA requires record keeping); Nov:30 (rate among municipal workers)
assembly-line syndrome: Apr:40
costs of: May:52 (related to pickup time)
crew productivity, analysis of: Aug:74
day in the life of a hauler: Jan:122C
driver performance, rating of: May:52 (Miami, Fla.)
drivers: May:88 (as public relations representatives); June:51 (highway accidents follow-up rules); July:72 (warm-weather maintenance)
health: May:82 (legislation); May:140 (NSWMA insurance program); Aug:34 (records required by law)
heavy-equipment operators, warm-weather tips for: July:72
help from customers: Apr:30 (Vallejo, Calif.); May:46 (Fayetteville, Ga.); July:80; Aug:52 (pamphlet encourages); Aug:118C (Modesto, Calif.); Sept:52 (Polk County, Fla.)
high-performance equipment reduces need for: Feb:14 (survey)
increasing productivity of: July:34, 40
injuries: ***see accidents***
management of: Dec:16
management techniques for: Mar:34
management-labor relations: June:36
manpower analysis: SIY:50 (EPA/NSWMA survey)
marginal employees, upgrading of: Feb: 20
motivating employees: Mar:34; July:42
one-man operations: Feb:42 (Miamisburg, Ohio); Apr:98C (Torrance, Calif.); May:152 (Chicago); Oct:12 (California)
park swampers, guidelines for: May:8
personal pride raises service level: Mar:34
professional development of: Apr:20 (England)
safety: Sept:8 (standards proposed for rear-loading vehicles); Oct:23 (standards proposed for side loaders); Nov:18 (standards proposed for stationary compactors); SIY:10 (checklist for)
safety seminars: Jan:98
salaries: ***see wages***
sanitary environmental investigators: Sept:30 (Birmingham, Ala.)
screening of: Jan:30
use of, in landfill operations: Jan:10 (Kansas City, Mo.)
wages: Jan:34 (of crewmen; U.S. statistics); Jan:52 (salary scale table); June:36 (federal controls on); July:34; July:42 (bonus incentives); Aug:30 (rising nationally); Aug:114C (impact on collection rates)
women, on pickup crews: May:46 (Fayetteville, Ga.)

landfill, sanitary

attempt to close, at Mountain View, Calif: Feb:8 (threat by U.S. Army); Feb:89C (Editorial); Oct:97C (Editorial)
barging to: Apr:36 (Staten Island, N.Y.); May:254C (Solano County, Calif.)

bombing range used for: Sept:16 (Denver, Colo.)
bulk refuse, handling of: July:48 (Manchester, N.H.)
clearing acreage for, factors to consider: July:46
collected cans, burial of: July:64 (Fredericksburg, Va.)
comprise only 6% of national landsites: Apr:22
computerized bookkeeping onsite: Oct:24 (Anne Arundel County, Md.)
consolidation of sites: Sept:52 (Polk County, Fla.)
conversion from open dumps: Feb:38 (book review); Feb:48 (Oklahoma); Apr:22 (Bowling Green, Ky.); May:256C (Toulumne County, Calif.); June:54 (Grant County, Wash.); July:8 (Manchester, N.H.)
conversion from rock quarry: Apr:46 (Honolulu, Hawaii)
conversion to botanic garden: Aug:46 (Los Angeles)
conversion to golf course: Aug:52 (Spokane, Wash.)
conversion to sports complex: Sept:166C (Mountain View, Calif.)
cost of: Jan:10 (Kansas City, Mo.); Feb:18 (La Grande, Ore); Mar:10 (Omaha, Neb.); Mar:64 (Lewis County, Wash.); Apr:22 (Bowling Green, Ky.); May:90 (Clark County, Wash.); July:46; Oct:24 (Anne Arundel County, Md.); Oct:32
design and operation of: July:30 (federal guidelines)
determining acreage requirements of: Jan:26 (table)
dump is a dump — not a landfill: June:12 (Editorial)
encouraged by public/private cooperation: Jan:32
equipment: May:64 (planning, selecting and operating), 150 (table); July:72 (warm-weather operations)
environmental acceptance of: Mar:48; July:30 (EPA guidelines)
fencing and slot cribbing of: Mar:70 (Omaha, Neb.)
future of: Aug:8 (Editorial); Sept:10 (Editorial)
hazardous wastes, handling of: June:110C (report procedure, Los Angeles); Sept:48 (London, England)
Hazardous Wastes Handling Act, text of: Oct:8
hazards at, control of: Mar:26 (chart)
history of: Jan:12 (Editorial)
Indian Control of, proposed: Feb:90C (California)
industrial wastes, reclaimed at: Oct:42 (Pasco, Wash.)
land scarcity, impact on: Sept:36 (Rotterdam, the Netherlands)
leachate action, control of: Mar:10 (Omaha, Neb.)
legislation, to mandate: Feb:48 (Oklahoma)
legislation requires compaction/covering daily: Sept:45 (Alaska)
liquid wastes, handling of: Sept:16 (Denver, Colo.)
making friends for: Apr:22 (Bowling Green, Ky.); Aug:46 (Los Angeles)
marine transfer terminal for: Apr:36 (Bronx, N.Y.)
model law would encourage: Apr:54; May:80
NSWMA Sanitary Landfill Institute: Jan:32; Sept:92 (program of Second National Congress)
national land-use policy to encourage: Mar:48; July:30 (EPA guidelines)
permits required for, proposal: Apr:54; June:42 (England)
pesticide containers, require burial affidavits: Aug:118C (Glenn County, Calif.)
pollutants, disposal of: July:56 (England)
privately owned and operated: July:68 (Twin Falls County, Idaho)
publicity spurs acceptance of: May:116 (Franklin County, Utah)
quality control, a basic consideration: Oct:34 (Letters)
railhaul to: Jan:94 (Cleveland); Apr:8 (Cleveland); June:8 (Atlanta); Sept:10 (Editorial)
reclaims agricultural acreage: Mar:10 (Omaha, Neb.)
recreational facilities, on filled sites: July:109C (Mountain View, Calif.); Aug:46 (Los Angeles); Aug:52 (Spokane, Wash.); Sept:90 (Palo Alto, Calif.); Sept:166C (Mountain View, Calif.)
replaced by compaction: Apr:76 (Lane County, Ore.)
research into: Jan:46 (EPA funding); Feb:58 (EPA studies); June:28 (EPA cites as best disposal method)
residents vote funds for: Jan:10 (Kansas City, Mo.)
screened by 40,000 fir trees: Mar:64 (Lewis County, Wash.)
semi-liquid wastes, handling of: June:52 (Camden, N.J.)
shredded refuse at: Feb:36; Apr:12 (extends landfill life; Editorial); May:86 (Dade County, Fla.)
shredded refuse, as daily cover: Mar:22 (Tacoma, Wash.); Apr:34 (EPA comment; Letters)
site planning, requirement for success: June:28; July:30 (EPA guidelines); Oct:32 (economic aspects)
technology of: Apr:38 (APWA seminar)
to restore scenic area: July:8 (Manchester, N.H.)
toxic materials, handling of: Feb:34; Aug:32 (Scotland); Oct:30 (environmental laws pose challenge)
transfer stations, in conjunction with: May:90 (Clark County, Wash.); June:34 (St. Etienne, France)
"trash island" proposed, as alternative to: Mar:8 (New York City), 12 (Editorial, questions feasibility of)

legislation, U.S., federal

environmental bills, synopses of: Sept:72 (book review)
environmental protection, class-action proposals: May:140
Federal Water Pollution Control Act: Oct:30
Federal Water Quality Act, 1972 amendments: May:212
fuel crisis; refuse contractors win priority rating from federal government: Aug:14
Hazardous Wastes Management Act: Apr:70; May:82; June:90; Oct:8 (text of), 30, 58 (highlights of)
injury and illness; records required if work-related: Aug:34
landfill guidelines, proposal by EPA: Jan:32, July:30 (criteria established)
legislative proposals: outlook for future: May:82
metallic solid wastes, proposed rail freight hikes: Feb:56
National Land Policy, Planning and Management Act: Mar:48
National Solid Wastes Management Commission proposed: May:82
Occupational Safety & Health Act, applicability to industry: Feb:40; Mar:80; May:140; June:36; Aug:34; Sept:8; Oct:23; Nov:30
rear-loading collection vehicles; safety standards proposed for: Sept:8
recycled materials; proposal to require more federal use of: May:212
recycling solid wastes; bill proposes tax incentives: Apr:44; May:82
refuse handling in high rise buildings, regulations needed: Mar:50
Resource Conservation Act of 1973: Apr:44
Resource Recovery Act of 1970: Mar:78; Apr:44; July:26
Rivers and Harbors Act, effect on California landfill proposal: Feb:8, 89C
side-loading packer trucks; safety standards proposed for: Oct:23
solid wastes legislation; bills proliferate: Apr:44
solid wastes management, a Federal perspective: July:26
solid-wastes management, evaluation of federal priorities: Sept:54
solid wastes management, new government standards and their effect: June:36
solid-wastes re-use; EPA urges Federal subsidies to encourage: Mar:78
State & Local Fiscal Assistance Act: Dec:32
Toxic Substances Control Act: May:82
Water Quality Act: Dec:38

legislation, U.S., state & local

anti-litter ordinance gets teeth (Lane County, Ore.): Aug:80
anti-pollution law, Washington State; effect on private nursing homes: Jan:90
bureaucratic red tape frustrates contractor efficiency: June:32
collection and disposal systems, attempts to modernize: Jan:46
environmental control; making government responsive to communities: Oct:26
environmental laws, enforcement of: Sept:30
environmental laws lead to increased collection and disposal costs: Mar:114C
Environmental Policy Act (Washington State): Aug:82
Hazardous Wastes Act (Calif.): June:90
illegal dumping; reward for informers (Lincoln County, Ore.): June:88
Just Compensation Bill: Nov:92C (California)

land-disposal programs, effect of multiple government-agency rules: Jan:32
landfill conversion legislation could close 50% of disposal sites (Umatilla County, Wash.): Sept:140
littering, fines for: Nov:44 (Sacramento, Calif.)
Mandatory refuse collection in San Francisco: Jan:118C; in Santa Cruz, Calif.: Feb:92C; tagging plan for trash cans: Mar:112C; in St. Paul, Minn.: June:68; in Pacific County, Wash.: Aug:82; in Modesto, Calif.: Aug:118C; benefits of: Sept:161C; in Quincy, Calif.: Oct:102C
nighttime hauling banned in Chicago: Apr:49
open-burning legislation (New York State); compaction is the answer: June:18; raises collection costs (California): Oct:103C
open burning, prohibition of (St. Paul, Minn.): June:44; (Grant County, Wash.): June:54; (Sacramento): July:114C; (Washington State): Aug:82; (California): Oct:103C
packaging litter, impact of Oregon law: Jan:14 (Letters); Aug:111C (Editorial); Sept:90; Dec:46 (Letters)
permits 3-axle trucks to exceed weight limits: Nov:92C (California)
recycling; bills seek equity in freight rates for recoverable refuse: July:23
refuse collection; out-of-sight can storage is mandated (Laguna Beach, Calif.) May:256C
refuse collection, permanent rate structure for (Washington County, Ore.) May:40
refuse composting planned by San Francisco: Mar:109C (Editorial)
refuse, interstate movement of, restricted by New Jersey law: June:16
refuse ordinance proposed: Dec:104C (California)
restricted chemicals, permits required for use and disposal of (California): June:110C
solid-wastes facilities, New York State proposal to regulate: May:40
solid wastes legislation (Calif.); problems it causes for collectors: Apr:30; management plan required by 1976: Oct:102C
Solid Wastes Management Act (Oklahoma), as national model: Feb:48
solid wastes management legislation, Alaska: Sept:45 (complete text)
solid-wastes management, new standards for (Grant County, Wash.): June:54
Solid Wastes Management and Resource Recovery Incentive Act (text of Model State Law): Apr:52; May:80
solid wastes management, success of an area plan (Allegheny County, Pa.): May:98
solid wastes regulations, conflicts in Washington, D.C.: Mar:50
toxic and hazardous-wastes regulations (California): Jan:32
trash cans: tagging plan for: Mar:112C
trash-can privacy, upheld in California: Jan:64
uncovered refuse, fines for (Contra Costa County, Calif.): June:112C
Vehicle weight and dimension; New York City regualtion could be costly to contractors: Aug:12
Wastes Control Act (New Jersey): June:16
Wastes-disposal issue as political football (New York City): June:56 (Letters)

licensing

see legislation

litter

a problem of peope: Aug:111C (editorial)
air patrol monitors: Sept:162C (San Francisco)
ban on nonreturnable containers: Jan:62 (proposed in Canada); Mar:78 (EPA considering)
by tourists, as factor in wastes-management plan: Nov:22 (Vermont)
can collection, financial failure of: July:64 (Fredericksburg, Va.)
citations to control: Aug:80 (Lane County, Ore.); Nov:44 (Sacramento County, Calif.)
city patrol enforces ordinances: Sept:30 (Birmingham, Ala.)
degradable plastic, as possible solution: Feb:18
in national parklands: May:8 (attempts to control)
metal-recovery facility, to control: Apr:98C (San Francisco)
motion pictures discuss: Apr:42 (book review)
on Mt. McKinley: Feb:54
Oregon legislation against: Jan:14 (pros and cons; Letters); Aug:111C (editorial); Sept:90 (reduces roadside trash); Dec:46 (background and impact)
pull tabs, dangers of: Jan:14 (letters)

m

maintenance

see equipment

maintenance of equipment

see equipment

metal

component of urban wastes, by weight: Nov:22 (Vermont)
comprises 8 to 14% of urban wastes: Feb:26
disparity in rail rates, scrapmetal vs. ore: July:64
magnetic separation recovery facility: Apr:98C
scrap metal, ICC proposes railfreight rate limits to encourage recycling of: Feb:56
separated from recyclable waste: Jan:92
slag separation for iron recovery: Sept:68

see also automobiles, cans, recycling

o

open burning

see burning

organizations, national & international

APWA Education Foundation: Apr:38
APWA Institute for Solid Wastes Management: Jan:86; Mar:56; Nov:50
APWA Institute for Solid Wastes and Public Technology: Sept:96
American National Standards Institute: Sept:8; Oct:23
American National Standards Institute, Standards Committee on Refuse Collection: Nov:18
American Public Works Assn: Jan:86; Feb:30, 62; Mar:56; Apr:14 (Ontario Chapter), 38; May:84, 184; Aug:54; Sept:8, 12, 96; Oct:23; Nov:18, 38, 60
American Society for the Prevention of Cruelty to Animals: Feb:46
American Society of Civil Engineers: May:64; June:28
Building Research Institute: Jan:20; Feb:26; Mar:50
Canadian Industrial Accident Prevention Assn: Apr:14
Detachable Container Assn: Mar:76; Nov:26
Environmental Management Assn: Nov:26
Glass Container Council of Canada: Jan:62; July:38
Glass Container Manufacturers Assn: Apr:98C
Keep America Beautiful, Inc: Oct:32; Dec:48
League of Women Voters: Apr:72
Mechanical Contractors Assn: Dec:79
Motor Vehicle Manufacturers Assn: Sept:8
NSWMA: Jan:60, 66, 98; Feb:14, 30, 40, 56; Mar:28, 30, 44, 80; Apr:10, 18D, 97C; May:10, 18, 216; June:90; July:10, 12; Aug:14, 16, 38, 114C; Sept:8, 25; Oct:23, 30; Nov:18, 38, 46; Dec:32, 66; SIY:52
NSWMA Contractors' Safety Committee: Sept:8
NSWMA Industrial Wastes Council: Feb:34; June:90; Oct:30
NSWMA Insurance Committee: May:140
NSWMA International Council: Nov:46
NSWMA Sanitary Landfill Institute: Jan:32; June:90; July:62; Sept:92
NSWMA Stationary Compactor Institute: Jan:60
NSWMA Waste Equipment Manufacturers Institute: Feb:40; June:90; July:26; Aug:18; Sept:8, 92; Nov:46
NSWMA Wastes Contractors Council: Nov:46
National Academy of Sciences, Building Research Advisory Board: Feb:27
National Academy of Sciences, National Research Council: Jan:20; Feb:26; Mar:50
National Assn. of Secondary Materials Producers: July:78
National Safety Council: Feb:30; Mar:74; Apr:14; Sept:8; Oct:23; Nov:18, 30
National Wheel & Rim Assn: June:70
Road Hauling Assn. of Great Britain: Apr:66

Rubber Mfrs. Assn: Dec:60
Tire Industry Safety Council: June:70

organizations, regional

Assn. of Bay Area Governments: May:258C
California Refuse Removal Council: Jan:120C; Feb:40, 90C; Mar:110C; Apr:30, 97C; May:253C; June:90; Oct:13; Nov:89C; 92C
Chicago & Suburban Refuse Assn: June:90
Colorado Refuse Disposal Assn: June:90
East-West Gateway Coordinating Council: July:14
Government Refuse Collection & Disposal Assn. (Calif): Feb:32; Mar:110C; Apr:97C; May:253C; Nov:92C
Greater Los Angeles Solid Wastes Management Assn: Apr: 98C; May:254C; June:110C; Aug:114C
Los Angeles Council of Solid Wastes Management Assns: July:110C
Mid-America Regional Council: Jan:10; Nov:50
Midwest Refuse Contractors Assn: Feb:40; June:90; Sept:96
Minneapolis Suburban Refuse Assn: June:90
Nebraska Solid Wastes Assn: June:90
New Jersey Municipal Contractors Assn: June:16
Oahu Refuse Collection Assn: June:90
Oceanic Society (Calif.): Sept:162C
Oregon Sanitary Services Institute: Mar:58; June:90; Aug:52
St. Paul and Suburban Refuse Removal Assn: Apr:81
San Francisco Planning & Urban Renewal Assn: May:253C; 258C
San Fernando Valley Refuse Removal Assn: July:110C
Solid Wastes Management Assn. of Massachusetts: Feb:40; June:90
Solid Wastes Management Assn. of Rhode Island: June:90

p

packaging

see bags, bottles, cans, glass, metal, paper, plastic materials

packers

see compactors

paper

biodegradable, for trash collection bags: Apr:42
bundled newspaper, public apathy for: May:32
component of urban wastes, by weight: Nov:22 (Vermont)
comprises 34 to 61% of urban wastes: Feb:26
depressed price of newsprint: July:23
milling process minimizes blow-away: Feb:58
newspapers as largest category of household refuse: Feb:26
recycling of, a successful revenue producer: Mar:82 (Letters)
recycling of, as solution to fiber shortage: Mar:82 (Letters)
recycling of is down by 50%: Feb:26
shredded newspaper in no-drain lining for atomic wastes disposal: Feb:12
waste-paper recycling station, handles 20 tons/hr. (Neward, N.J.): Jan:44
wastes paperboard and newsprint gain in market value: Apr:50

personalities

see final portion of index

pesticides

containers, permits required for disposal of: Aug:118C (Glen County, Calif.); Dec:100C (San Joaquin County, Calif.)
on restricted-materials list, requiring disposal permit: June:110C (California)

plastics

as impervious lining for liquid nuclear-wastes disposal: Feb:12
degradable, based on polymerizing method: Feb:18
separated from recyclable wastes: Jan:92
trash bags, encouraging use of: Apr:30
see also bags, bottles, recycling

pollution

air: Jan:92 (motion-picture film); Feb:48 (Oklahoma solid wastes law); Feb:60 (failure of incinerator scrubbers); May:68 (furnace upgrading, to control); May:86 (more stringent controls); May:116 (daily cover eliminates odors); July:60 (revival of electric vehicles); Sept:36 (incinerator efficiency minimizes); Sept:167C (underground burning eliminates)
atomic wastes, precautions for: Feb:12 (editorial)
degradable plastics, application of: Feb:18
dump-site closings, to control: Jan:10 (Kansas City, Mo.); Feb:10 (Omaha, Neb.); Feb:48 (Oklahoma); Apr:22 (Bowling Green, Ky.); Apr:54 (model law); June:54 (Grant County, Wash.); July:8 (Manchester, N.H.); Dec:38 (aspects for future)
environmental data bank: Sept:72 (book review)
hazardous wastes, liquid: Nov:30 (problems of); Dec:38 (current and future control of)
hazardous wastes, report procedure for: June:110C (Los Angeles)
incinerator conversions, to control: Feb:60 (St. Louis); Mar:109C (San Francisco); June:18 (White Plains, N.Y.); Sept:18 (New York City); Oct:18 (regulations); Oct:98C (Tuolumne County, Calif.); Dec:10 (Montreal)
infectious wastes, control of: Jan:90 (Washington)
landfill leachate, control of: Jan:10 (Kansas City, Mo.); Feb:10 (Omaha, Neb.); Apr:22 (Bowling Green, Ky.); May:64; June:28; July:30 (EPA proposal for landfill); Sept:45 (frozen ground is a problem; Alaska); Sept:52 (Polk County, Fla.); Nov:26 (New Jersey); Nov:34
legislation to control: May:82 (federal plans); July:26; Sept:45 (Alaska); Oct:8, 30 (hazardous wastes laws)
noise: Apr:49 (Chicago bans night hauling); Oct:36 (decibel reductions)
ocean dumping, environmental impacts of: June:23
open-burning bans: to control: Jan:118C, 120C (California); Mar:114C (California); Apr:30 (problems created); Apr:52 (model law); June:18 (compaction as answer); June:44 (problems created); June:54 (Grant County, Wash.); July:56 (England); July:68 (Idaho); July:114C, 116C (California); Aug:114C (California); Sept:45 (Alaska); Oct:102C, 103C (California)
organic refuse, sealed bins for: June:52
poisonous wastes, problem in land disposal: Aug:32 (Scotland)
pollutants, limits on land disposal of: July:56 (England)
prohibited wastes, proposed, in ocean disposal: June:23 (table)
provisions to control, in model law: Apr:52; May:80
pyrolysis, outlook for: Dec:44
quality control essential to health safety, in landfill operations: Oct:34 (Letters)
sanitary code violations, at landfill: Nov:26 (New Jersey)
visual: July:58 (candidate billed for poster removal); Aug:46 (refuse heap becomes botanical garden)
water: Feb:34 (by toxic wastes); May:86 (controls become stricter); June:23 (international guidelines proposed); Aug:32 (Scotland seeks to control poisonous wastes); Aug:80 (baled, compacted waste prevents); Oct:42 (prevented by control of industrial wastes); Nov:44 (course in contamination control); Dec:34 (effluent guides); Dec:38 (controlled by landfill); Dec:44 (new standards to come)
see also ecology

prices

see costs

publicity

environmental reporting, need for improvement: Dec:28
pocket brochure educates residents: Aug:52 (Oregon)
refuse receptacles as advertising media: July:50, 58
spurs acceptance of landfill: May:116 (Franklin County, Utah)

public relations

drivers as public-relations representatives: May:88 (Miami, Fla.)
fir trees screen disposal site: Mar:64 (Lewis County, Wash.)
making friends for landfill: Apr:22 (Bowling Green, Ky.); Aug:46 (Los Angeles)
persuading customers to like curb service: May:46 (Fayetteville, Ga.)
producer-user relations: Nov:38
Russians welcomed by U.S. refuse contractors: Sept:82

social considerations in landfill site selection: Nov:34
vital link to local cooperation: Mar:30
voluntary collection of discarded refrigerators win community praise: July:110C (California)

pulverizing
equipment specifications: SIY:229
extends life of landfill sites: Apr:12 (editorial)
household, to reduce pickup frequency: Feb:28
in high-rise buildings: Jan:60
now an accepted method: SIY:38
to be mandated by 1990: May:86 (Dade County, Fla.)
typical system for: SIY:38 (schematic)
wet-rotational system, for reclamation: Dec:34 (Scotland)

putrescibles
see wastes

pyrolysis
EPA interest in, as disposal alternative: Dec:44

r

railhauling
first metropolis-carrier contract: June:8 (Atlanta)
future of: Sept:10 (editorial)
in England: July:18
of bulk and baled refuse: Jan:94 (Cleveland)
potentials of are demonstrated: Apr:8 (Cleveland)
rate limits proposed for scrap: Feb:56
under consideration: Dec:102C (Tuolumne County, Calif.)

rates
see costs

recreational areas
botanical garden on landfill site: Aug:46 (Los Angeles)
golf course replaces landfill: Aug:52 (Spokane, Wash.)
on proposed "trash island": Mar:8 (New York City)
picnicking on landfill site: Sept:90 (Palo Alto, Calif.)
scenic area restored by landfill: July:8 (Manchester, N.H.)
sports complex rises on old landfill: Feb:8; July:109C; Sept:166C (Mountain View, Calif.)
waste disposal problems of: Feb:64 (Mt. McKinley, Alaska; Longs Peak, Colo.); May:8 (in national parks); Nov:22 (Vermont)

recycling
analysis of markets for recycled wastes: June:40
EPA proposed subsidies to encourage: Mar:78
endorsed as policy by APWA: Nov:50
failure of, impact on wastes generation: Sept:10 (editorial)
futility of: Jan:14 (letters); July:64; Aug:111 (editorial)
glut on secondary materials market: July:23
incentives for, in federal laws and regulations: Apr:44; July:26; Sept:54
lack of public support for: May:32
legislation to mandate or encourage: Jan:14 (Oregon); Jan:62 (Canada); Dec:46 (Oregon)
model law encourages: May:80
motion picture films on: Mar:42 (book review)
of industrial wastes and metals: Oct:42 (Pasco, Wash.)
of metal, aided by magnetic separation: Apr:98C (San Francisco)
of metal cans, financial failure: July:64
of paper, down by 50%: Feb:26
of paper, necessary because of pulp shortage: Mar:62 (Letters)
of scrap metal, new freight rates proposed: Feb:56
of solid wastes, as solid storable fuel: Dec:34 (Philadelphia, Pa.)
of waste as compost, continued failure of: Feb:36 (book review); Mar:109C (editorial)
of waste paper, at new plant: Jan:44 (Newark, N.J.)
resource recovery a more viable project: Nov:16 (editorial); Nov:36

refuse
see wastes

rubber
discarded tires, problems in disposal of: July:48
discarded tires; used as artificial reef: Aug:18
scrap tires, permit required for burial: Nov:44 (Oregon); Nov:90C (California)
shredded tires in landfill cover: Mar:22

s

salvage
of discarded refrigerators: July:110C
of liquid industrial wastes: Oct:42 (Pasco, Wash.)
of newspapers, required better market: Feb:26
of organic wastes, for soil reclamation: May:258C (California)
see recycling

shredding
a giant step forward: Apr:12 (editorial)
acceptance of: SIY:38
costs of: Feb:58
eases collection problems: Jan:60
European origins of: Oct:10 (editorial)
extends landfill life: Mar:93
of bulk refuse, as daily landfill cover: Mar:22 (Tacoma, Wash.)
of rubber tires: July:48 (Manchester, N.H.)
milled solid waste, cover requirements at landfill: Feb:36; Apr:34
of newspapers, damage to equipment: Feb:26
percussion, at transfer station: June:34 (St. Etienne, France)
recommended for all landfill sites: May:86 (Dade County, Fla.)
see also grinding-pulverizing

solid wastes management
adapting to change: May:38
baling, as central to: Nov:12 (Massachusetts)
centered on incineration: Sept:36 (Rotterdam, the Netherlands)
centralized rubbish control: Apr:32 (Albany, N.Y.)
challenges of future: July:12 (editorial)
challenges still to be defined: Dec:38
city-county cooperation: May:98 (Allegheny County, Pa.)
computer control for: Aug:10 (San Francisco); Oct:24 (Anne Arundel County, Md.)
consolidating disposal sites: Sept:52 (Polk County, Fla.)
curb service, enlisting customer support: May:46 (Fayetteville, Ga.)
curb service, in bags or bundles: Apr:30 (Vallejo, Calif.)
efficient routing system: May:52 (Miami, Fla.)
employee motivation builds profits: July:42 (Miami, Fla.)
federal perspectives: July:26
federal program, evaluating priorities: Sept:54
in cold-climate resort area: Feb:10 (Aspen, Colo.)
in high-rise buildings: Jan:20; Feb:28; Mar:50; May:76; Sept:18; Oct:18
in national parklands: May:8
instilling pride upgrades service: Mar:34
land disposal guidelines, EPA: July:30
legislation: Apr:30 (California); Apr:52; July:26
long-haul operations: Sept:16 (Denver, Colo.)
management information system, value of: Dec:16
model law, as guideline for: Apr:52 (Kentucky)
needed: men, money, material: Jan:30
ocean disposal, international guidelines: June:23
operations analysis, by EPA: June:44
opportunities in private sector: Dec:36
planning study, for improved refuse handling: Jan:10 (Kansas City, Mo.)
plans for, due Jan. 1, 1976: Dec:101C (California)
preservation of environment: July:8; Aug:46
problems of bureaucratic red tape: June:32
producer-user relationship: Nov:38
productivity: July:40
public-private cooperation stresses land reclamation: Jan:32
public-private interface: Mar:30
revenue analysis, as costing method: May:73 (Miami, Fla.)
role of private industry: Apr:20 (England)
strength of associations is important to strong programs: Nov:89C (editorial)
suit may set public-private precedent: Dec:8 (Tampa, Fla.)
to control seasonal peak loads: Nov:22; Dec:50 (Vermont)
to control tonnage via sealed receptacles: Feb:42 (Miamisburg, Ohio)
to reclaim agricultural acreage: Mar:10 (Omaha, Neb.)
unified area plan: May:90 (Clark County, Wash.)

via development of "trash island": Mar:8, 12 (New York City)
woman's viewpoint: May:88 (Miami, Fla.); June:40 (Palo Alto, Calif.)

studies and research

bigger contractors — larger vehicles: July:10 (Applied Management Sciences Foundation — NSWMA)
containerization, prevalence of: Apr:10 (Applied Management Sciences Foundation — NSWMA)
crew productivity, analysis of: Aug:74 (EPA)
direct contracts dominate in private sector: May:10 (Applied Management Sciences Foundation — NSWMA)
equipment relates to collection mix: Aug:16 (Applied Management Sciences Foundation — NSWMA)
environmental aspects of refuse handling: Feb:38
into potentials of railhauling: Apr:8 (Cleveland)
into problems of toxic materials: Feb:34 (California Dept. of Public Health)
most contractors are proprietorships: Mar:44 (Applied Management Sciences Foundation — NSWMA)
of injury report-analysis system: May:220 (Enviro-Med, Inc.)
on frequency and severity of accidents: Apr:14 (APWA, Ontario chapter)
on government franchises: June:10
on high-performance equipment, to cut labor: Feb:14 (Applied Management Sciences Foundation — NSWMA)
on refuse-worker injury rate: Nov:30 (National Safety Council)
on shredded refuse: Feb:36
on solid wastes management in high-rise buildings: Jan:10
on tonnage of toxic liquid wastes: Nov:30 (EPA)
private industry, dominance of: SIY:52 (EPA — NSWMA)
salaries of crewmen: Jan:34 (Bureau of Statistics)
shredding and burial recommended: May:86 (Greenleaf-Telesca)
to determine ideal vehicle: July:91
to determine landfill acreage requirements: Jan:26 (EPA)
to modernize solid wastes systems: Jan:46 (EPA)
vehicles vary by region, city size: Sept:25 (Applied Management Sciences Foundation — NSWMA)
see also conferences

t

taxes

deductions proposed for recycled materials, in IRS Code amendment: May:82
on nonreturnable containers, proposed: Jan:62 (Canada)
on vehicles, by axle units: Nov:92C (California)
property, as base for collection rates: Feb:90C (Shasta County, Calif.)
revenue sharing, changing priorities: Dec:32
tax incentives, proposed to promote reuse: Mar:78; Apr:44; May:80; Oct:30
tax squeeze gets tighter: Jan:117C (Editorial)
to pay for improved landfill site: Jan:10 (Kansas City, Mo.)

tires

disposal of, in landfill: July:8 (Manchester, N.H.); Nov:44 (Oregon)
maintenance of: May:103 (general rules); June:70 (common types of rim failure); July:66 (radial truck tires); Aug:72; (proper care prolongs life); Oct:38 (pointers on proper inflation) Dec:60 (new developments alter tread life)

transfer stations

contract for: sample: SIY:100
costing by computer, for speed: Jan:8 (Seattle)
first turnkey contract for: Mar:18 (Knoxville, Tenn.)
multiple-unit complex: Feb:16 (Detroit)

trash

see wastes

w

wastes

as base for manmade island: Mar:8, 12
as compost: Feb:40; Mar:109C (failure of)
atomic, disposal of: Feb:12 (editorial)
bagged, to facilitate pickup: Apr:30; July:16 (abusal of)
baled, railhaul of: Jan:94; Apr:8; June:8; July:23
barged: Apr:36; May:254C
box collection of: Apr:76
centralized control of: Apr:32
chemical, permits required for: June:110C; Dec:100C
containerized: Apr:11; July:80
degradability of, plastic: Feb:18
disposal of, future: Sept:10 (editorial)
environmental handling of: Feb:38
food, handling of: Aug:68
foundation of civilization: Jan:12 (editorial)
generation rates of: Jan:26 (table); Feb:38 (table)
hazardous: Jan:32 (control regulations for); Feb:34 (problems of); May:82 (federal bill); June:110C (report procedure); July:56 (land disposal of, limited); Aug:32 (poisonous wastes); Aug:118C (burial affidavits for); Sept:48 (difficulty of removing); Oct:8 (local guidelines); Oct:30 (legislative effects); Oct:30 (summary of Hazardous Wastes Management Act); Dec:38 (future industry role); Dec:68 (characteristics of); Dec:100C (burial permits)
household compaction of: Feb:28
household: newspapers dominate: Feb:26
household, typical make-up: Mar:18
infectious, special handling of: Jan:90
license required for disposal of: June:42 (England)
"Litter index" established: May:170
load limits on: Aug:12
metal separation, magnetic: Apr:98C
monitoring of: Sept:45 (regulations)
ocean disposal of: June:23 (prohibited materials); Aug:80 (baled)
paper, need for recycling of: Mar:62
political aspects of: June:56 (letters), 109C (editorial); July:32
privacy of, upheld by law: Jan:64
pyrolysis of: Dec:44
reclaimable, subsidies for: Feb:56; Mar:78; May:80; July:26
recovery of: Apr:44, 52 (model law), 98C (magnetic metal separation); May:32 (lacks public support); July:26 (federal programs); July:23 (lack of markets); Sept:54 (federal priorities); Oct:42 (industrial discards); Nov:16 (editorial); Nov:30 (90% are liquid); Nov:50 (APWA policy); Dec:34 (plan for)
rising costs of collection: Aug:30; Oct:103C; Nov:90C
rural, collection of: July:14; Sept:40
semantics of: Aug:40
semi-liquid, handling problems: June:52
settling of, in landfill: Aug:48
shredded, as landfill aid: Feb:58; Mar:22; Apr:12; May:34, 86
solid, converted to sturable solid fuel: Dec:34
solid, model legislation for: Feb:48; Apr:52; May:80
solid, private industry collects 73% of: SIY:52
underground burning of: Sept:167C
wheeled curbside containers for: May:46
see also: collection and hauling — compactors — contracts — incineration and incinerators — landfill — legislation — litter — pulverization — recycling — shredding

Area Studies

CANADA

Ontario: Apr:14

ENGLAND

London: Sept:48
nationwide: Apr:20; June:42; July:56
Stoke-on-Trent: Mar:72

FRANCE

Paris: July:60
St. Etienne: June:34

NETHERLANDS

Rotterdam: Sept:36

SCOTLAND

nationwide: Aug:32

UNITED STATES

Alabama

Birmingham: Sept:30

Alaska
statewide: Sept:45

Arizona
Holbrook: May:68

California
Contra Costa County: Apr:102C
El Segundo: Oct:36
Fresno County: Aug:116C
Hermosa Beach: Oct:12
Los Angeles: June:110C; Aug:46
Mountain View: July:109C
Sacramento Delta: May:258C
Sanlinas: July:112C
San Francisco: Mar:109C; Apr:98C; May:253C; Aug:10
Santa Clara County: Oct:102C
Shasta County: Feb:90C
statewide: Jan:118C; Feb:94C; Mar:114C; Apr:102C; June:114C; July:116C; Aug:114C; Sept:161C; Oct:103C
Torrance: Apr:98C
Tuolumne County: Oct:98C
Yolo: July:114C

Colorado
Aspen: Feb:10
Aurora: Sept:40
Denver: Sept:16

Florida
Dade County: May:86
Miami: May:48
Polk County: Sept:52
Tampa: Dec:8

Georgia
Atlanta: June:8
Clayton County: May:46

Hawaii
Honolulu: Aug:80

Idaho
Twin Falls County: July:68

Illinois
Chicago: May:67
Monroe County: July:14

Kentucky
Bowling Green: Apr:22

Louisiana
New Orleans: May:38

Maryland
Anne Arundel County: Oct:24

Michigan
Lincoln Park: May:94

Missouri
Kansas City: Jan:10

Nebraska
Omaha: Mar:10

New Hampshire
Manchester: July:8

New Jersey
statewide: June:16; Nov:26

New York
Albany: Apr:32
metropolitan area: Mar:8, 12(editorial)
New York City: Apr:36; May:76; Aug:12

Ohio
Cleveland: Apr:8
Miamisburg: Feb:42

Oklahoma
statewide: Feb:48

Oregon
statewide: June:40; Sept:90
Stayton: July:16
Washington County: May:40

Pennsylvania
Allegheny County: May:98

Utah
Franklin County: May:116

Vermont
statewide: Nov:22; Dec:50

Washington
Bellevue: July:34
Clark County: May:90
Grant County: June:54
Pasco: Oct:42
Tacoma: Mar:22

Wisconsin
Madison: Feb:36

Index of Authors

a

Andrews, Parker
Computerized Bookeeping at landfill site: Oct:24

b

Berman, Donald
Cities and county share refuse handling, May:98

c

Casazza, Joseph F.
Pointers on contract agreements, Dec.:25

Clar, Milton
Planning high-rise compactor systems, CH:29

Collier, Barry L.
Recent tire developments, Dec:60

Colonna, Robert A.
EDA provides operations analysis, June:44
Federal perspective on solid wastes programs, July:26

d

Davis, Peter L.
Handling solid wastes in high-rise buildings (part 2), refuse grinders, Feb:28

Dunford, William
Accident rate highest among collection personnel, Apr:14

e

Elliott, Ralph K.
Planning high-rise compactor systems, CH:29

Ervin, John W.
Aspects of management, Dec:16

f

Feinberg, George K.
Tire maintenance tips, May:103

Flood, Ted
Handling solid wastes in high-rise buildings (part 1), compaction, Jan:24
Producer-user relationship, Nov:38

Fordyce, Denny
Clearing confusion about radial truck tires, July:66

g

Goodman, Lewis R.
Motivating employees to do better job, July: 42
Revenue analysis as costing tool, May:73
Stopping the money drain in wastes hauling, CH:30
Suit may set public-private precedent, Dec:8

Graff, W. J.
Economic aspects of landfill site selection: Oct:32

Social consequences of selecting sanitary landfill sites, Nov:34

Gray, Robert

Land disposal of poisonous wastes in Scotland: Aug:32

Graziano, Joseph

Sealed bins for semi-liquid wastes, June:52

Greco, James R.

Future role in liquid and hazardous refuse, Dec:38
Safety standards proposed for rear-loading collection vehicles, Sept:8
Safety standards proposed for side-loading packer trucks, Oct:23
Safety standards proposed for stationary compactors, Nov:18

Greenleaf, John W.

Handling solid wastes in high-rise buildings (part 1), pulverization, Jan:60

h

Hicks, T. V.

Planning, selecting and operating landfill equipment, May:64

High, Dean

Handling solid wastes in high-rise buildings (part 2), incineration, Feb:27

Hoff, John E.

Handling abrasives and cold weather, CH:41

j

Jacks, Sidney

Handling solid wastes in high-rise buildings (part 2), Feb:60

Jewel, Robert L.

One-man crews cut collection costs, Feb:42

l

Lebsock, G. W.

Handling solid wastes in high-rise buildings (part 3), publicly owned structures, Mar:54

m

Mackay, Bentley B. Jr.

Adapting to change in hauler's lifeline, May:38
Benefits of efficient solid wastes management, Mar:34
Bureaucratic red tape frustrates efficiency of public and private haulers, June:32
Dangers of paying political tribute, July:32
Government can be responsive to community, Oct:26
How Birmingham fights litter, Sept:30
Need to improve environmental reporting, Dec:28
Observations on innovations, Nov:40
Overcoming job frustrations among swampers, Apr:40
Semantics of garbage, Aug:40
Three M's of management, Jan:30
Training pays off in reduced injuries, Feb:30

McCall, James

Handling solid wastes in high-rise buildings (part 1), introduction, Jan:20

Mings, Robert C.

Parklands need better handling methods, May:8

Morgan, Mike

Private hauler solves rural collection problems, July:14

o

Ohlgren, Ray

Pulverizing gains acceptance, SIY:38

O'Neill, John T.

Handling solid wastes in high-rise buildings (part 3), privately owned structures, Mar:50

p

Pollock, Eugene L.

Handling solid wastes in high-rise buildings (part 3), regulatory bodies, Mar:51

Pollock, Kenneth M.

How Colorado hauler overcomes problems, Feb:10

q

Quimby, Thomas

Handling solid wastes in high-rise buildings (part 2), resource recovery, Feb:26

r

Rabins, Marshall M.

NSWMA insurance program, May:140

Riches, Fred

Checklist of hydraulic troubles, CH:39

Rogers, J. R.

Economic aspects of landfill site selection: Oct:32
Social consequences of selecting sanitary landfill site, Nov:34

Rowe, D. R.

Disposal site as small-city asset, Apr:22

s

Simpson, W. B., Jr.

Disposal site as small-city asset, Apr:22

Stefanelli, Leonard

Russians visit U.S. refuse industry: Sept:82

Stockton, Edward C.

Handling solid wastes in high-rise buildings (part-1), systems approach, Jan:20

w

Wingerter, Eugene J.

Changes in sanitation industry, June:36
Disposal of harmful refuse, Oct:30
Evaluating legislative priorities, Apr:44
Federal solid wastes action priorities, Sept:54
Legislative proposals that will shape the future, May:82
NSWMA expands policies, services, Nov:46
NSWMA expands service programs, Aug:38
NSWMA tackles toxicity problems, Feb:34
Policy of national land use is recommended, Mar:48
Priorities in federal revenue sharing, Dec:32
Public-private cooperation stresses land reclamation, Jan:32
Routes to productivity, July:40

Wirenius, John D.

Precautionary equipment and measures for haulers, Mar:26

z

Zasso, Bob

Consequences of employee accidents, Feb:32

Case Studies

Alaska (litter on Mt. McKinley): Feb:54
Anne Arundel County, Md. (computerized bookkeeping at landfill site): Oct:24
Browning-Ferris Industries (solving problems of subsurface bins): Oct:12
Chicago, Ill. (ban on night hauling): Apr:49
Cleveland, Ohio (new pickup practice saves $6.3 million in two years), Jan:50; (railhaul of refuse), Jan:94
Detroit, Mich. (modern transfer station and disposal complex): Feb:16
District of Columbia (solid-wastes management in high-income area): Jan:30
Eastside Disposal Co. (larger-capacity equipment increases productivity): July:34
El Segundo, Calif. (lowering noise in refuse collection): Oct:36
Fredericksburg, Va. (litter-collection program): July:64
Gerber Products Co. (automatic dumper aids cleanliness for food packer): Aug:68
Golden Service Co. (collection of refuse from school of mines): Sept:34

Haul-All Sanitation, Inc. (persuading homeowners to use curb service): May:46
Honolulu, Hawaii (rock quarry becomes landfill site): Apr:46
Imperial Beach, Calif. (no profit in refuse collection): Mar:112C
Knoxville, Tenn. (new refuse transfer station for urban use): Mar:18
Lane County, Ore. (efficient box-collection system): Apr:76
Milwaukee, Ore. (environmental concerns in wastes management): Mar:18
Modern Sanitation Co. (private rural collection service): July:14
Modesto, Calif. (mandatory collection of refuse): Aug:118C
Montreal, Canada (mechanical solution to cramped-space problem): Dec:10
Mountain View, Calif. (Army seeks to close landfill site), Feb:8, 89C; (sports complex built on sanitary landfill), Sept:166C
New York City (compactors service high-rise apartment house), Sept:18; (concrete trash receptacles), Feb:50; (disposal of animal carcasses), Feb:46
Newark, N.J. (paper-reclamation plant): Jan:44
Pacific County, Wash. (mandatory collection of refuse): Aug:82
Philadelphia, Pa. (resource recovery system): Dec:34
Quincy, Calif. (mandatory collection of refuse): Oct:102C
Reclamation Systems, Inc. (refuse baled for landfill): Nov:12
Resource Recovery Corp. (reclaiming industrial wastes): Oct:42
St. Louis, Mo. (refuse disposal in high-rise buildings): Feb:60
St. Petersburg, Fla. (personal pride raises level of service): Mar:34
San Francisco (air patrol monitors bay area litterers), Sept:162C; (mandates cans and collections), Jan:118C
Seattle, Wash. (credit-card billing at transfer station): Jan:8
Solano County, Calif. (refuse barged to landfill site): May:254C
South Valley Refuse Co. (higher rates for hillside residents): Apr:74
Southern Sanitation Service (diversified operation): May:30
Spokane, Wash. (golf course to become landfill site): Aug:52
Sunset Scavenger Co. (computer-controlled hauling equipment): Aug:10
Tulare County, Calif. (underground burning of refuse): Sept:167C
Yolo County, Calif. ("convenience center" assesses disposal fees): Apr:100C
United Sanitation Services (efficient routing control procedure): May:52; (woman succeeds in solid-wastes management): May:88
Vallejo Garbage Service (collection problems under Calif. solid-wastes legislation): Apr:30
Walt Disney World (underground vacuum collection system): May:144
Waste Resources, Inc. (sealed bins for semi-liquid wastes): June:52
White Plains, N.Y. (compaction is answer to ban on incineration): June:18
Woman engineer heads area wastes-handling project (**Oregon**): June:40

Personalities

a

Abroms, William July:101
Agajanian, Carl May:254C
Alhearn, Thomas May:18
Alvarez, Gabriel Sept:83
Anaclerio, Giuseppe Sept:83
Andres, Donald R. Feb:94C; Mar:110C
Andrews, Parker Oct:24
Annigoni, A. J. Feb:56
Arpin, Jean Aug:54; Sept:28
Artz, Nick Jan:10
Asel, Arthur Jan:10
Avoian, Albert May:254C; Aug:114C

b

Badie, Russell Mar:110C
Baker, David June:46
Bailey, Robert Aug:54; Sept:56
Balchuck, Donald C. May:46
Balchuck, Robert May:47
Banfield, Patrick Feb:40; Feb:56; Mar:80
Barden, Alfred Feb:40
Barnes, James July:110C
Barrett, Stephen May:237
Basile, Ben B. May:237
Bates, Steve Jan:122C
Beatty, Clarence Sept:96
Beck, Alfred H. Aug:54; Sept:56
Beck, Larry Feb:56
Behlen, Everett C. Jan:120C
Beltz, Phillip Sept:92
Bengtsson, Ingemund Aug:82
Berhams, Bernice Sept:86
Berman, Donald May:98; Apr:38
Beroldo, E. J. May:256C
Bjune, Ole Aug:82
Black, Ralph Aug:54; Sept:28
Blount, James May:18
Bordukov, I. V. Sept:86
Bowen, Vincent Feb:56
Bowerman, Frank Aug:54; Sept:56
Brashares, William Feb:40; Mar:80; May:18
Brask, David Feb:56
Bray, Oscar May:237
Brink, Hjalmar Aug:84
Brink, John W. May:237
Bronow, John Aug:54; Sept:28
Brooke, Sen. Edward Mar:54
Brown, Melissa June:40
Brown, Mitchell L. Mar:74
Broyles, H. Cletus Sept:30
Bruch, Harter Mar:110C
Brumitt, Bud Sept:92
Brumm, William May:254C
Brune, Jack E. Apr:84
Buckingham, Phillip June:8; Dec:66
Buiten, William I. Feb:74; May:18; Sept:92
Buntrock, Dean Feb:56
Burkhart, C. G. Sept:28
Burr, Donald G. Feb:74
Burr, Edward Feb:56; June:46; Oct:12
Burron, A. Theodore Feb:74
Burwick, Theodore May:254C
Butcher, Frederick C. June:46
Butcher, Joseph Oct:101C
Butcher, Robert Oct:101C

c

Calkins, Myron D. May:84
Campodonico, Richard Sept:110
Cantor, Herbert N. Mar:74
Carline, Wallace M. July:101
Carp, Alan R. Feb:74
Casazza, Joseph Sept:28; Dec:25
Cassling, Donald May:18
Cecil, Stephen R. Mar:74
Centro, Frank Sept:92; Dec:66
Chandler, Wyeth May:176
Chennard, Paul Sept:92; Dec:66
Cich, Leonard Mar:74
Clar, Milton CH:29
Clark, Thomas G. May:234
Clemens, Jerry July:110C
Clemens, John July:110C
Coleman, David May:18
Collier, Barry L. Sept:28; Dec:60
Collins, Hugh Feb:73
Collister, Ralph E. Sept:92
Colonna, Robert, A. June:44; July:26; Aug:54; Sept:13, 92; Dec:66
Colvin, Larry Sept:162C
Connolly, John A. Aug:54; Sept:28
Conway, W. Apr:56
Cook, Barney Mar:110C
Cook, Harold V. Sept:13
Costle, Douglas M. Sept:92
Cousins, Barry Mar:74
Cristofano, Sam Feb:62
Crowson, Utah July:16
Cousteau, Jacques July:18
Culp, King C. May:234
Curran, George D. Nov:26
Czamecki, Jerome Mar:74

d

Daday, Robert P. May:237
Dair, Frank June:110C
Darnay, Arsen Sept:92
Davis, Clarence Mar:110C
Davis, Peter L. Feb:28
Dearborn, R. K. Oct:34
DeGeare, Truett Dec:66
DeHart, Robert Aug:90
Delaney, James E. Jan:26
Del Eva, Claude Sept:83
Delrich, Raymond P. Feb:56; July:110C
DeLury, John Mar:16
DeMane, Robert Jan:44
Dempster, James W. Mar:18
Diamond, Henry L. May:40
Dias, Alfred June:46
Dietzel, Charles Mar:74
DiLibero, Ramsey G. Jan:101
Dolan, Tom Sept:84
Dominick, David Jan:32; May:18; July:12
Donnelly, John F. Apr:81
Dooley, Thomas E. Feb:40, 56; Mar:80
Dorfler, Joseph Jan:101
Dove, Lloyd A. Apr:38
Dudzinski, Art Sept:96
Dumire, Harry Mar:74
Dunbar, Gary May:237
Dunbar, Thomas Mar:110C
Dunford, William Apr:14
Dunne, Mike Jan:122C
Dunwody, R. B. Apr:84
Dwyer, William F. Sept:28

e

Economou, N.N. Sept:28
Ederer, Joseph Apr:84
Edgerton, C. Apr:56
Edwards, Edwin May:232; July:32; Oct:26
Egan, Arthur May:254C
Eisenbrandt, Richard Feb:56
Eldredge, Richard W. Mar:52; Aug:54; Sept:56, 92; Dec:66
Elish, Herbert Aug:54; Sept:56
Elliott, Ralph K. CH:29
Enquist, Melvin Apr:38
Ervin, John W. Dec:16
Esterbrooks, Robert C. May:84

f

Fairhurst, W. M. May:237
Farris, George May:18
Fatjo, Tom J., Jr. Jan:101
Feinberg, George K. May:103
Feldman, Maruce M. Apr:38
Fenn, Dennis G. Jan:10; Mar:10
Fenton, Richard Aug:54; Sept:56
Figure, Edward May:94
Fisher, Charles Dec:28
Fisher, Dr. J. L. Sept:13
Fisher, Wayne May:237
Flack, Keith Mar:74
Fleming, Rodney R. Apr:38
Fletcher, Robert A. Nov:12
Flood, Ted C. Jan:24; Sept:96; Nov:38; Dec:66
Florance, A. E. Apr:25
Fondessy, Robert Feb:56
Ford, Mrs. Stella May:103
Fordyce, Denny July:66
Foster, Patricia May:88
Franco, Carlo July:112C
Frank, Thomas Feb:56; May:18
Free, Paul Mar:110C
Freese, Paul May:237
French, Henry May:18
Fri, Robert W. July:62
Friede, Marvin J. June:56
Frisina, Joseph Jan:44
Fuller, Carol Oct:34
Funk, Harvey Sept:92; Dec:66

g

Gagliardi, Arthur May:256C
Gangi, Louis May:103
Gardner, Robert Jan:120C; 122C
Gerber, Michael Apr:42
Gerdnic, Frank J. Jan:101
Gianelli, William R. May:84
Gershowitz, Harold Dec:66
Gillespie, Ben Mar:74
Gilreath, Marion Feb:56
Ginsberg, Charles, Jr. Feb:74
Glanz, Richard Dec:66
Goetsch, H. A. Aug:54; Sept:28; Nov:60
Golden, Roger Feb:40; Mar:80
Goldman, Charles R. June:46
Goldsmith, A. O. Dec:28
Goodenough, Richard D. Nov:26
Goodhard, Victor Feb:10
Goodin, Robert H. May:84
Goodman, Lewis R. Feb:56; May:52; May:73; July:42; CH:30; Dec:8
Goodridge, I. C. Apr:26
Goslen, Henry May:103
Gould, Matthew Mar:96
Graff, W. J. Oct:32; Nov:34
Granzella, Richard Apr:102C
Gray, Robert Aug:32
Graziano, Joseph June:52
Greathouse, Daniel May:220
Greco, James R. Jan:66; Feb:40; May:18; Sept:8; Oct:23; Nov:18
Green, James B. Jan:101
Greenleaf, John W. Jan:60
Grove, Robert A. Feb:56; Sept:34
Guillou, John C. May:84
Gutierrez, L. V. May:237

h

Hale, Samuel, Jr. Jan:32; Mar:52; Apr:34; May:18; Aug:54, 82; Sept:13, 92; Nov:8, 32; Dec:36
Haniquet, G. Apr:56
Hardin, Walter May:234
Harlow, Harold Sept:92
Harnack, Donald May:18
Hart, Sen. Philip A. July:78
Hartman, William Sept:96
Haug, Lester A. Jan:86; Aug:54; Sept:13; Oct:36
Haug, Tim Jan:86
Hawkins, William May:234
Hayes, Jeannie Mar:28; Apr:18D; May:166; Sept:96
Hecht, Jerry July:14
Heller, Diane Mar:62
Hemstead, Peder June:56
Hennessy, Robert May:18
Hensch, Erwin F. Sept:13
Hickman, H. Lanier, Jr. Oct:10
Hicks, T. V. May:64
High, Dean Feb:27
Hobson, Vickie Sept:86
Hobson, Wally Sept:86
Hoff, Jack Feb:56
Hoff, John E. CH:41
Hoffman, Arnold Aug:18
Hollander, Per-Olof Aug:84
Hook, Eric Aug:82
Hopkins, Thomas F. May:234
Howe, Dr. Richard Aug:54; Sept:28
Hudson, Whit May:31
Huizenga, Henry May:68
Huizenga, Wayne May:30
Humber, J. Nichols Sept:92
Hunter, Dean Sept:92

i

Imholte, Thomas J. Nov:26
Ippolito, Amos D. Feb:27; June:98
Israel, Robert W. May:237
Isaacs, S. Ted Oct:34
Iveroth, Axel Aug:82

j

Jack, Joseph E. Feb:74; Mar:74
Jacks, Sidney Feb:60
Jackson, Richard D. Sept:13
Jacobs, Allan Sept:86
Jankowski, Eugene Mar:74
Jensen, Christian Apr:84
Jewell, Robert L. Feb:42
Joelson, Charles S. June:16
Johnson, Carlton Oct:44
Johnson, Kenneth Feb:56
Jones, Gerald F., Sr. May:234
Jones, Kenneth E. May:237
Jones, Robert Sept:96
Jorski, Carl Apr:50

k

Kagi, Ed Sept:166C
Kanfer, Jack May:18
Kaniss, Charles J. Mar:34
Keller, Dan Apr:38
Kelley, Jim Dec:102C
Kelly, Robert F. Jan:101
Kendall, Harry Mar:74
Kennedy, John D. July:101
Kerr, Robert Mar:74
Kerton, H. D. Mar:110C
King, Dr. Martin Luther May:176
Kirsch, Jack Sept:56
Kis, John Dec:10
Kleinfield, N. R. Feb:46
Kletter, Harry Apr:50
Kletzli, M. W. Aug:90
Knutson, Arthur E. May:84
Koch, Ezra Feb:56; Mar:30
Kohler, Rune Aug:84
Kretchmer, Jerome Mar:16
Kudrayavtsev, A. O. Sept:86

l

Latting, Patience Apr:50
Laughlin, Paul E. Nov:26
Lawrence, Robert Mar:110C
Lea, John Apr:84
Lebsock, G. W. Mar:54
Lees, Clare Oct:12, 36
Leichner, Larry May:90
Leitner, Stan May:18
Lewis, Charles May:18
Linaweaver, Dr. F. P. Sept:13
Lindsay, John V. Feb:50; Mar:16
Lindsay, Michael May:234
Loeschke, Donald R. May:254C
Longnecker, E. F. Jan:101
Longsworth, Charles R. Mar:74
Lorenzon, Donald D. July:110C

m

Mackay, Bentley B. Jr. Jan:30; Feb:30; Mar:30; Mar:34; Apr:40; May:38; June:32; July:32; Aug:40; Sept:30; Oct:26, 44; Nov:40; Dec:28, 66
MacKenzie, Donald Feb:40; Mar:80
MacLaren, Duncan May:237
Madsen, Paul Feb:56
Magiera, T. J. Mar:74
Makrdichian, William May:254C
Marceleno, Troy Feb:30
Marschall, Adm. A. R. Nov:60
Martin, James F. June:46
Martinek, James F. June:46
Mattas, Charles A. May:234
Mattos, Robert May:103
McCall, James Jan:20
McCarty, J. E. Nov:60
McCarthy, L. L. May:237
McClellan, O. D. Apr:56
McClenahan, Don Feb:56
McCollough, Jack Sept:96
McDaniel, B. J. Aug:54; Sept:28
McDonough, J. J. Nov:60
McGovern, Kenneth May:234
McManus, Capt. E. A. Sept:56
McNichols, W. H., Jr. Sept:13
Meng, Kenneth A. Nov:60
Mento, J. May:18
Milburn, John Feb:44
Milks, R. K. Apr:24

Mings, Robert C. May:8
Mintz, Gerald E. May:237
Moeller, Charles E. May:237
Mooney, Charles May:18
Moore, Paul Mar:74
Morgan, Mike July:14
Morris, James C. Mar:62
Morrison, Robert D. May:237
Mortier, James J. Sept:28
Morton, Richard Apr:84
Moscone, John Mar:30; June:46
Moses, Philip May:237
Mueller, Herman Mar:36
Mueller, J. L. Dec:66
Mueller, James Sept:92
Mullen, Edward K. Mar:62
Munoz, Jesse Dec:102C
Musfeldt, D. F. May:237
Muskie, Sen. Edmund July:78

n

Neeley, Gerald Nov:50
Neipling, Joseph E. Aug:54; Sept:56
Nelson, Daniel J. Sept:28
Newman, Barry July:23
Nordling, Nels Aug:82
Nugon, A. J., Jr. May:38; Oct:26
Nunez, Samuel Oct:26

o

O'Connor, James E. June:46
O'Connor, Thomas Sept:162C
O'Halloran, John Feb:8; July:109C
Ohanesian, William Feb:56; May:254C
Ohlgren, Ray SIY:38
Olerud, E. Aug:84
O'Neill, John T. Mar:50
Orr, Harold R., Jr. Sept:28
Osterhaus, William E. June:56

p

Panabaker, Guy Mar:110C
Park, Glenn May:18
Paul, Robert May:18
Paulson, Valfrid Aug:82
Pauly, Hans Aug:82
Paxson, Richard W. Mar:112C
Pender, Michael R. May:84
Petrucci, Ben Feb:56
Pheils, J. W. Apr:26
Phillips, O. P. Mar:110C
Pieretti, John May:258C
Pincince, A. B. May:237
Pollock, Eugene L. Feb:56; Mar:51; Sept:96; Dec:66
Pollock, Kenneth M. Feb:10
Porter, Robert C. Aug:54; Sept:56
Power, Richard M. Dec:66
Pray, Clint Oct:44
Price, Clarence Aug:18
Purtell, Richard Jan:101

q

Quimby, Thomas Feb:26

r

Rabins, Marshall M. Feb:56; May:140
Radin, Alex Sept:13
Ragsdale, Rhett May:18; Sept:92; Dec:66
Reagan, Ronald June:46
Recklitis, Christopher Nov:32
Redin, L. Aug:82
Reichhardt, C. A. Aug:54; Sept:56
Reindl, John Dec:46
Riches, Fred CH:39
Riley, Richard A. Mar:74
Rivlin, Lew May:18
Roberts, Betty Feb:18
Robinson, R. M. Aug:54; Sept:56
Rochford, Joseph Aug:54; Sept:28
Rockefeller, Nelson May:40
Rogers, Harvey Sept:96; Dec:66
Rogers, J. R. Oct:32; Nov:34
Romas, A. P. Sept:86
Rose, Stanley Mar:76; May:18
Ross, James M. Feb:40; Mar:80
Rowe, D. R. Apr:22
Ruckelshaus, William D. July:62; Sept:92
Rucker, Bill Feb:44
Russell, John Sept:96; Dec:66

s

Saarento, Heikki Aug:82
Saladin, Joseph Mar:74
Salvato, Joseph J., Jr. Feb:38
Sampson, Arthur F. May:84
Sandler, James P. Feb:74
Savas, Emanuel Feb:50
Schenkel, W. Aug:84
Schleining, Adam Aug:54
Schur, Dennis Apr:38
Schuster, Ed Sept:96
Schuster, Gilbert Nov:60
Schuster, Ken May:18
Schweikert, P. Apr:26
Scott, John Aug:54; Sept:28
Seif, Morris Feb:56
Selden, George R. Jan:101
Seymour, Thomas Mar:74
Sheflin, J. E. Sept:28
Shevelev, F. A. Sept:86
Shirvanian, Hacob June:46
Shobe, Ron Feb:56
Shults, F. Apr:20
Shuster, Kenneth A. Aug:74
Siebels, G. C., Jr. Sept:30
Simmers, Jack Sept:52
Simpson, W. B., Jr. Apr:22
Skidmore, Robert Feb:56
Skitt, John Mar:72
Slowe, Andrew Feb:73
Smith, Harold May:18
Smith, Richard H. Jan:14
Snider, Clyde Mar:74
Solomon, George July:34
Sommers, B. Apr:26
Speer, David K. Sept:28
Stagnaro, George June:112C
Stanfa, Jim Feb:56
Stefanelli, Leonard Feb:56; Mar:30; June:46; Aug:56; Sept:82
Steinmetz, W. C. May:237
Steir, Burton Nov:32
Stengl, Robert Mar:74
Stevens, David H. May:84
Stewart, John Sept:28
Stewart, Roy May:18
St. Germaine, James May:18
Stickelberger, D. Aug:84
Stitt, Richard Feb:56; May:18
Stone, L. J. Oct:32
Stoveroff, Haskel Sept:92
Stragier, Mark Aug:54; Sept:56
Stockton, Edward C. Jan:20
Stone, Edward Durell May:23
Storelli, Joseph May:52
Strickland, Zack Mar:74
Stuart, E. B. Apr:56
Sutliffe, Mrs. Miles May:253C
Sweeten, John M. Jan:26

t

Talty, John T. June:56
Tatarian, S. Myron Mar:30; June:46
Temkin, Robert May:18
Terry, Dennis Mar:74
Tobin, Patrick Apr:38
Tomasi, Albert Mar:74
Toorman, H. K. July:101
Torrigino, Mario Apr:100C
Train, Russell E. Sept:92; Nov:48
Trewhitt, Wayne Sept:83; Dec:66
Trovao, Ernest Mar:110C
Tsen, C. H. Oct:32
Turturici, A. R. Aug:54; Sept:56

v

Vanatta, Russell Mar:96
Van Beek, Gerald Feb:40; Feb:56; Mar:80; May:18
Vanderhoof, John D. Sept:56
Van Der Molen, Harold Feb:56
Vander Veen, H. Apr:56
Vanderveld, John, Jr. Feb:56; Mar:30; Sept:92; Dec:66
Van Heuit, Robert June:110C
Vardy, Peter Sept:92; Dec:66
Varni, Frank Jan:118C
Vaughan, Richard Mar:30
Vesilind, P. Aarne Jan:14
Viola, Tom May:18
Vittori, Dewey May:126
von Heidenstam, Olov Aug:84

w

Wagner, Edward Mar:74
Wall, Robert Sept:167C
Walton, Edmund C. Aug:54; Sept:56
Watts, H. Fred Sept:60
Wegman, Leonard S. Sept:92; Dec:66
Weintraub, Abraham Feb:74
Weir, Frank R., Jr., May:237
Wheeler, Charles Jan:10
Whitaker, R. Bruce July:101
Whitney, Phillip A. Aug:54; Sept:56
Wilcox, Robert Sept:56
Wilcynski, Wander June:56
Wilkinson, Robert June:46
Williams, Ralph Mar:110C
Williams, Reg June:88
Wilson, David Feb:56; May:18
Wilson, Grover June:98
Wilson, Vester Jan:120C
Wilson, William J. Sept:28
Wingerter, Eugene J. Jan:32; Feb:34; Feb:40; Feb:56; Mar:48; Mar:80; Apr:44; May:82; June:36; July:40; Aug:38; Sept:54, 92 Oct:30; Nov:46; Dec:32, 66
Winter, David May:254C
Winter-Berger, Robert July:32
Wirenius, John D. Mar:26
Woodward, Brian Sept:110
Worthington, Charles Mar:110C
Wright, John G. Nov:26

y

Yang, S. S. Oct:32
Yett, David T. Jan:14
Young, Reginald May:258C
Young, Richard Oct:12, 36

z

Zaichenko, A. I. Sept:86
Zanzig, Jerald G. Mar:74
Zapf, Fritz May:84
Zasso, Bob Feb:32
Ziff, Sam Jan:30
Zipter, Don Apr:84
Zunino, Antonio Apr:30
Zunino, Larry Feb:56; Apr:30
Zunino, Nick Apr:30